

The M.A.N. Online: <http://www.MolokaiAdvertiserNews.com>

HC01 Box 770 K'Kai email: molokaiman1@worldlinkisp.com

Molokai, HI 96748

ph. 808-558-8253

**Alha: it's FREE!**

 Mail To:

# Molokai Advertiser-News



Volume 24 Number 30 The Militia of Molokai's Community Newspaper—Every Wednesday July 23, 2008



## Traveling by AirTSA

### Check Your bags and your rights.

If you travel outside the United States this summer, you can kiss your right to privacy, and perhaps your laptop, digital camera and cell phone, goodbye.

Did you know...

1. Border security can seize your laptop, cell phone or camera with no suspicion or explanation.
2. Airports use scanners that conduct a virtual "strip search" of passengers.
3. There are over one million names of Americans on the TSA/Homeland Security terrorist no-fly and suspicion watch list.
4. The TSA recently expressed interest in having every airline passenger wear "electro-muscular disruption" [aka taser bracelets] that would be used to shock passengers into submission.

#### TSA wants TAZERS for Passengers?

Paul S. Ruwaldt, an official with the Department of Homeland Security says the U.S. should consider having airline passengers wear electronic bracelets that could track their movements, hold personal information and be triggered like a Taser to stun them into immobility.

Airline passengers can be fitted with "electronic ID bracelets" they would wear until they disembark their flights. The device would replace a ticket, carry passenger information, track passengers through terminals and track luggage.

But the key feature is that activating the bracelets could leave the wearer "immobile for several minutes" without causing "permanent injury."

"For a businessman on his way home, to a young family going south for a winter holiday, wearing an EMD bracelet during flight is a small inconvenience to assure their safe arrival," says the company. "Many, if not most, passengers would happily opt for the extra security."

According to the manufacturer, the bracelets remain inactive until a hijacking situation has been identified. Then, a designated crew member will activate the bracelets making them capable of delivering the punitive measure – but only to those that need to be restrained. Besides activation using the grid screen, the stewardess will have a handheld laser activator that can activate any bracelet as needed at other times by simply pointing the laser at the bracelet - that laser dot only needs to be within 10 inches of the bracelet to activate it.

Would you let them put one of those on your wrist? Would you allow the airline employees, which would be mandated by the government, to place such a bracelet on any member of your family? Traveling for American Citizens should NOT mean checking your rights when you're checking in for your flight.

**"Rebellion against tyrants is obedience to God." T.J.  
Preserve YOUR Sovereignty: Enforce the Bill of Rights!**

## Obama & McCain Seek Racist Support: LaRaza, OHA, etc.

Both Republican Party Presidential candidate John McCain and Democrat Party Presidential candidate Barack Obama recently spoke at the annual convention of the National Council of La Raza. "La Raza" is Spanish for "The Race," and their unabashed goal is a Mexican race based nation of California.

But there was no nationwide media coverage. Why?

As a comparison: can one imagine the maelstrom that would be created within the mainstream media if both major-party Presidential candidates appeared before a gathering of an all-white organization called "The Race"?

That McCain and Obama would pander before a racist anti-American organization such as La Raza indicates just how deeply both the Republican and Democrat parties' candidates are committed to appeasing the race based and pro-illegal immigration forces. Hawaii's OHA and California's La Raza are racebased.

As if having the two major-party Presidential candidates appear before La Raza isn't enough, the current occupant of the White House, President George W. Bush, is also on record as supporting the goals of La Raza. Remember, it was George W. Bush, along with Senators John McCain, Ted Kennedy, and Lindsey Graham, who did everything within their power to coerce both houses of Congress into passing a sweeping amnesty bill for illegal aliens. Only a unified and thunderous protest from millions of average Americans defeated their efforts.

President Bush's support for La Raza is also demonstrated by the fact that the Bush administration and Congress has given millions of taxpayer dollars to the pro-illegal alien groups.

Columnist Michelle Malkin recently wrote a revealing article that warned the American people of things everyone should know about La Raza. Here are a few samples: La Raza supports driver's licenses for illegal aliens. La Raza demands in-state tuition discounts for illegal alien students that are not available to law-abiding U.S. citizens and legal immigrants. La Raza opposes cooperative immigration enforcement between local, state and federal authorities. La Raza opposes a secure fence on the southern borders between Mexico and USA. La Raza opposed the state of Oklahoma's cut off welfare to illegal aliens law, put teeth in employer sanctions. La Raza gives mainstream cover to Movimiento Estudiantil Chicano de Aztlan, another radical racist group pushing to carve a racist nation out of the American West. Like Hawaii's Office of Hawaiian Affairs, La Raza sponsors militant ethnic nationalist charter schools subsidized by public tax dollars (at least \$8 million in federal grants). La Raza thrives on ethnic supremacy.

As historian Victor Davis Hanson observes: The name 'The National Council of La Raza' is hate speech to the core. Despite all the contortions of the group, Raza reflects the meaning of 'race' in Spanish, not 'the people'--and that's precisely why we don't hear of something like 'The National Council of the People,' which would not confer the buzz notion of ethnic, racial and tribal chauvinism."

**In spite of La Raza's obvious racist, anti-American agenda, both John McCain and Barack Obama were more than happy to prostitute themselves for the votes.**

Just as George W. Bush has no intention of securing America's borders, neither does John McCain nor Barack Obama. Both of these Presidential candidates will continue the policies of their predecessor and leave the U.S. wide open to the illegal aliens' burden on US social programs, schools, hospitals, and the threat of potential terrorists, drug dealers, violent gang members, and felonious criminals.

McCain and Obama, along with many others in Washington, D.C., do not believe in putting America first. They are globalists through and through. As I have often said, the real battle today is not between liberals and conservatives. Those labels are meaningless. It is not between Republicans and Democrats.

The real battle today is between Americans and globalists. Between those who believe in constitutional government, national sovereignty and independence, secure borders, and putting America first, and those who want to merge the United States into some kind of global New World Order.

**John McCain and Barack Obama are globalists. Their pandering before an anti-American, racist organization such as La Raza or OHA is proof.**

**Imports Gift Shop** "In Kaunakakai"  
 The largest clothing, gift and jewelry shop in town  
**ONE STOP SHOPPING**  
 OPEN Mon. to Sat. 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 pm  
 Sunday 8:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.  
 ph: 553-5734




**Tree Trimming-recycle eastend**  
**call 558 8253 for appointment/ estimate**  
 We also do rock busting, appliance repair,  
 house painting and repairs, hauling.

**Pono's Solar Electric Power**  
**to Molokai homes, farms, businesses.**




**Solar Power Ready to go, from the ground up!**  
**Just plug in and start building!**  
 Call Today! **Mr.Pono Solar Power 558-8253**

**Pono's Solar Electric Power**  
**for Molokai Homes,**  
**farms, businesses.**  
**"FREE Electricity"**  
**from the Sun**



Call Today!  
 "Mr.Pono" is  
 Solar Power  
**558-8253**



**The Moloka'i Advertiser-News**




**Susan** **George**  
**G & S Enterprises.....Publisher.....George Peabody....Editor**  
 HC 01 Box 770, K'Kai, HI 96748...  
 email molokaiman1@worldlinkisp.com

web page: <http://www.MolokaiAdvertiserNews.com>  
**Phone: 558-8253.....Call The M.A.N.**  
 Published Every Wednesday....Made on Molokai  
 Subscriptions....FREE Online MolokaiAdvertiserNews.com  
 Published Weekly. Founded in 1984...Contents © 2007 All Rights Reserved

**OBITUARY**

**Lucy Dudoit**, died July 3, 2008 in Kaunakakai. Born September 11, 1934 Halawa Valley, raised her family at her Kainalu residence. Survived by her children Alice, Ford, Luella, Thomas, Eldred, Florence, Mansfield, Mercury, Mary, Morgan, Dodge, Dynette, and Dana. Memorial services will be held at the Waialua Congregatiional Church on July 26, scatter ashes at later date.



**Stanley T. Ort**, died July 18, 2008, at Pukoo. Born November 10, 1944 in Indiana, he is survived by his wife Francis, daughter Samantha, and grandson Alexander. Services to be held later.

**Murder Casts a Shadow**

book by Victoria Nalani Kneubuhl  
 Prewar Honolulu (1934) Honolulu comes to life in this thoroughly entertaining mystery that evokes a colorful bygone era.

Playwright Victoria Nalani Kneubuhl has done her research (delving into the Honolulu of the 1930s remembered by her father Ben Kneubuhl.).

The fiction mystery based on the theft of a portrait of King Kalakaua from the Bishop Museum on a New Year's Eve and the murder of the curator investigated by a newspaper reporter and visiting playwright. An intricate pattern of clues, relationships between close-knit local families, steers the pair through Chinatown's back alleys and the lives and events are woven through these pages.



Readers, kama'aina and malihini, will enjoy the glimpse into the Honolulu of electric streetcars, the Honolulu Police Station in the Merchant Street district, the Tantalus Rountop neighborhood and old downtown and Waikiki. But the real story is the mystery of the murders, the puzzle of the ancient chants. Multiple plots, multiple mysteries, a little romance adds up to a must-read.

Murder Casts a Shadow by Victoria Nalani Kneubuhl is published by the University of Hawaii Press (ISBN 978-0-8248-3217-9, pbk, 278pp.).

**BOOM BOX Automobiles Noise not Pono!**  
**Boom Box cars are anti-Hawaii-nei!**

BOOM BOX Noise from Vehicles is not pono, disturbs the peace of Molokai creating a West LA slum "hood" atmosphere.

Molokai is not a boom box hood, but the boomboomdaadaaboom subwoofer noise from these vehicles violates the whole concept of pono Hawaiian values and malama aina.

Boombox noise is also illegal if the automobile's boombox noise can be heard more than fifty feet away, and usually this disturbing and unnecessary noise pollution can be heard for a 1/4 mile away because of the low frequency of their subwoofers.

Boombox car drivers can be pono: turn off their base speakers or get ear phones to keep their noise confined to themselves.

Boombox noise not pono = hearing lost, disturb the peace of our Molokai communities, and conflicts with the law. Black trucks, white SUV, anyKine junkers: Stop boombox noise!

To those who have become self governing and stopped their boom box noise, the whole Molokai community says **Mahalo nui!**  
**May the sovereignty be with you forever!**

**Malama pono.** George Peabody





## Molokai's Forum For Freedom Individual's Sovereignty vs Slavery

by George Peabody ph. 558-8253 email:

"We have staked the whole of all our political institutions upon the capacity of mankind for self-government, upon the capacity of each and all of us to govern ourselves, to control ourselves, to sustain ourselves according to the Ten Commandments of God."—

**Bill of Rights 2nd Amendment:**  
**“A well regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.”**

**Wake up militia! Use it , or lose it ! Got your gun, yet?**

"...with a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our lives, or fortunes and our sacred honor."

(Unanimous Declaration of Independence)

If you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed, and, if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly, you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance of survival. There may even be a worse case. You may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than live as slaves.

"The people cannot delegate to government the power to do anything which would be unlawful for them to do themselves."- John Locke

"Those who make peaceful change impossible, make violent change inevitable." -- Robert F. Kennedy

**"Rebellion against tyrants is obedience to God." T.J.**

## Enforce the Bill of Rights !

"And how we burned in the camps later, thinking: What would things have been like if every Security operative [insert your favorite alphabet Gang DEA, BATFE, H.S., TSA, FBI, IRS, NSA, CIA, LEOs etc. here], when he went out at night to make an arrest, had been uncertain whether he would return alive and had to say goodbye to his family? Or if, during periods of mass arrests, as for example in Leningrad, when they arrested a quarter of the entire city, people had not simply sat there in their lairs, paling in terror at every bang of the downstairs door and at every step on the staircase, but had understood they had nothing left to lose [neither do you now] and had boldly set up in the downstairs hall an ambush of half a dozen people with axes, hammers, pokers, or whatever else was at hand. The Organs would very quickly have suffered a shortage of officers and transports and, notwithstanding all of Stalin's thirst; the cursed machine would have ground to a halt!" -- The Gulag Archipelago, Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn

## TSA: Good Slave, Bad Slave

It seems that no suggestion is so fascist or patently tyrannical that you can't find a few morons-on-the-street to proudly proclaim, "If it makes us all safer, I think it's worth it!" For example, want to take a plane somewhere? Fine, just be ready to be subjected to warrantless searches, insulting inquisitions, and general degrading harassment. But it's for our own good, right? After all, maybe that little old lady WAS going to hijack the plane with her nail-clippers! Better safe than sorry. Right?

Unfortunately, the American sheeple have long since forgotten about a little thing called "human dignity." Instead of wanting to be treated like PEOPLE should be treated, they strive only to be treated like good slaves. "Here's my government-issued ID, Massah! See, I'm a good slave! And yes, sir, I have my piece of paper that gives me permission to drive a car / have a gun / build a deck / have a dog / give a speech in public / sell hamburgers / [etc., ad infinitum]."

A prime example of how we're treated like slaves is something I just heard about, which at first I thought--hoped, actually--was a joke. Apparently the fascists are pondering the possibility of having all airliner passengers wear bracelets that can give off a temporarily debilitating shock, like a taser does. For our own good, of course. to keep airplane terrorists under control. Check it out:

<http://www.worldnetdaily.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=69116>

I haven't been on a plane in quite a while, and whether they institute this newest fascist insanity or not, I don't plan to be on a plane again any time soon, if ever again. You see, I'm a human being, and I don't take kindly to being treated like a slave. I suspect that if I went to an airport again, some braindead TSA twit would decide that my attitude and comments were "inappropriate," and so I'd be their "bad slave" that day, and would be treated accordingly.

"Hey, I've done nothing wrong, I obey the law, and I have nothing to hide, so why should I mind a little interrogation, harassment, strip-searching and wire-tapping?" Hey, moron, if you're one of the good guys, why do you think it's okay that you're constantly treated liked a CRIMINAL? Ever heard of that thing about being "presumed innocent until proven guilty"? If mass murderers have that right, why don't YOU have it?

If you kill and eat a few of your neighbors, then the cops can't search your stuff without a warrant, can't force you to say anything, and can't detain you without probable cause of a crime having been committed. But if you're just some average guy and you want to get on a plane, the feds can do ALL of that and more, and the American sheeple just go along with it. Why? Because they've long since given up on being proud and free human beings, and are now content to merely be "good slaves."

As for me, if I have to be a slave, I'm proud to be on the fascists' list of BAD SLAVES. As for you good slaves out there, crouch down and lick the hand that feeds you; may your chains rest lightly upon you, and may posterity forget that ye were our countrymen.

Sincerely, Larken Rose

**"Rebellion against tyrants is obedience to God." T.J.**

**Preserve YOUR Sovereignty: Enforce the Bill of Rights!**

## Gun Rights Refresher

1. An armed man is a citizen. An unarmed man is a subject.
2. A gun in the hand is better than a cop on the phone.
3. If guns cause crime, then pencils cause misspelled words.
4. "Free" men do not ask permission to bear arms.
5. If you don't know your rights you don't have any.
6. Those who trade liberty for security have neither.
7. What part of "shall not be infringed" do you not understand?
8. The Second Amendment is to enforce the other 9 Amendments.
9. 64,999,987 firearms owners killed no one yesterday.
10. Guns only have two enemies; rust and politicians.
11. Know guns, know peace, know safety.
12. No guns, no peace, no safety.
13. You don't shoot to kill; you shoot to stay alive.
14. 911 - government sponsored Dial-a-Prayer.
15. Assault is a behavior, not a gun device.
16. Criminals love gun control -- it makes their jobs safer.
17. If guns cause crime, then matches cause arson.
18. Only a government that is afraid of citizens prohibits guns.
19. You only have the rights you are willing to fight for.
20. Remove the people's right to bear arms, you create slaves.
21. The American Revolution was about gun control.

## Crimes of Politicians Must Be Stopped by The People (You are The Militia)

The crime on our streets is a tiny fraction of the crime in the offices of our politicians and bureaucrats - the massive majority of crime is committed by the criminal classes in power. Just look at the constant abuse of power in our State government offices and you will realize the extent of crime in Government. Just consider just how many BILLIONS of dollars a day Government extorts from the people and how little WE get in return; and just how comfortable the politicians make themselves at the expense of the peoples they extort!

The goal of the founders of America was to restrict government within severe limits and to protect the rights of sovereign individuals. Government has NO rights!


Judges are impeachable. Furthermore, judges may be removed immediately for violating oaths of office, involvement in conspiracies, extortion, and failing to uphold their duty to the common law. Judges can also be arrested, they are not exempt from this nor are any other officials, including the President of the United States.

Do the people have the power to do this? Yes, the people have the power to do everything to defend our country against all enemies foreign or domestic politician, and government has no power to say otherwise. Fascist bureaucrats might send out its armed marauders, but a huge group of The People (Militia) armed with guns as guaranteed their Right to keep and bear arms by the 2nd Amendment is likely to stop them unless the governments decide that it is time to begin mass killings of all people who believe in the rights of Man.

**Wake up ! Enforce the Bill of Rights !**

"A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed." Exercise the 2nd Amendment.

S  
A  
F  
E  
T  
Y  
!



Private Lessons; Livefire Practice




**M.O.M.'S  
Guns & Safety  
Training/  
Education**

**Shooting  
Range**

Call 558-8253

Militia Or Molokai  
SUPPORTS



Bill of Rights  
2nd Amendment:  
"A well regulated Militia,  
being necessary to the  
security of a free State,  
the right of the people  
to keep and bear arms,  
shall not be infringed."

Use it or lose it !  
ph. 558-8253  
Join the club! N.R.A.



F  
R  
E  
E  
D  
O  
M

**Full Service Kualapuu Cookhouse**

6-Days Week

...Molokai's eating landmark"



**Mondays: 7 am - 2 pm**  
**Breakfast & Lunch 7 am - 8 pm Daily**  
**Dinner Served 5 pm- 8 pm Daily**  
**Thursday Night: Prime Rib**  
**Closed Sundays**  
 located in Kualapuu off Hwy. 480

**567-9655**  
**ONO**  
**Kaukau!**

# Fly George's Aviation

**Molokai to/from Honolulu**

**only \$105 R/T**

Unscheduled, On Demand, Air Carrier & charters

Fly Molokai to Maui R/T only \$120

**Daily Air Service available!**

**Dependable, Friendly and affordable**

—prices subject change—

**CASH or CREDIT Cards welcome**

**Call toll free:**

**1-866-834-2120**

on Molokai call 553-8554 on Maui call 893-2120

**Mahalo Molokai for your SUPPORT !**

## Young Brothers Barg Charge Increase

The Public Utilities Commission (PUC) has approved Young Brothers, Limited's application for a 5.5-percent zone increase on all cargo categories effective tomorrow (August 1, 2008). Under the PUC Decision and Order number 24139, the company has rate flexibility within a range, called a "zone of reasonableness." The proposed zone increase does not include or reflect the increased cost of fuel, which is covered in the company's separate fuel price adjustment. Young Brothers may request a zone of reasonableness rate increase once per year and may adjust fuel prices quarterly.

Roy Catalani, vice president of strategic planning and government affairs for Young Brothers, said when Young Brothers filed the Application on June 17, 2008: "Young Brothers has kept increases to its operational expenses low despite cost pressures in many sectors. Among other things, we will pay higher labor costs in 2008 while we remain committed to our long-term \$186 million capital reinvestment plan to develop and maintain essential transportation infrastructure. Young Brothers will continue to make substantial investments in vessels, containers, cargo handling equipment and information systems to benefit our customers throughout the state," he continued.

In March 2006, Young Brothers announced that it was embarking on a capital reinvestment plan totaling \$186 million. In 2006, it made capital expenditures of \$38 million, followed by an additional \$30.5 million in 2007 and a projected \$19.5 million in 2008. Catalani said Young Brothers is reinvesting in its Hawaii operations and infrastructure an amount that is far in excess of the operating income that it is projected to earn over the ten-year plan period.

In 2008, Young Brothers will embark on several major customer service enhancements, including placing into service its second and third new barges (with the fourth new barge coming into service in 2009). These four state-of-the-art barges, which are expected to be in service over a period of 25 to 30 years and will be 40 percent larger than the older barges. The new barges have new, more fuel efficient hull forms that allow for increased cargo load and cargo growth without increasing fuel consumption for the towing tug and also will substantially reduce air emissions. These vessels are part of Young Brothers' larger strategic plan that includes partnering with the state on plans to improve and create more efficient facilities in ports statewide.

At the time of its application, Catalani noted that Young Brothers will maintain the good value of inter-island shipping. With the rate increase, for example, shipping 2,000 pounds of locally grown cabbage (shipped via refrigerated pallet) will go from \$59 to about \$63, 2,000 pounds of frozen chicken will go from \$85 to about \$90, 40 cubic feet of canned goods (about 1,920 cans of soup) will go from \$29 to about \$31 and a 60-cubic foot pallet of beverages (about 495 six-packs) will go from \$39 to about \$41.

### UPCOMING EVENTS AT MOLOKAI HIGH SCHOOL

August 13 Senior Requirements Ohana Night at 6 p.m. in the MHS Library. Learn about the Senior Project and Graduation requirements. Parents of all high school students are highly encouraged to attend.

Molokai High School is looking for volunteers from the community willing to help with Senior Project. We will need readers for research papers and people from a variety of careers and experience for evaluating project presentations. Please call the school with your name and contact information for follow up from our senior project coordinator. Phone: (808) 567-6950 ext. 247, for Ms. Karen Harada. We sincerely appreciate any volunteers!

Request for Email Addresses for Parents of Students

The MHS Kid Talk Program begins its third year. This initiative is geared to better support our students and a primary component is the school's ability to reach the families. Last year, it was a tremendous asset for many teachers to have email addresses from parents to be able to communicate regularly. If you'd like to send your email address to the school, please contact Mr. Yonemura or Mrs. De George at 567-6950, x229. We hope to foster better communication with the homes! Mahalo!

**Da Kine Ads**  
**SERVICES OFFERED**

**Architectural Drafting Office**  
**Ph. 553-9045**

email: luigis@aloha.net

**LICENSED ARCHITECT**

Rich Young - Custom homes  
 Portfolio available online at  
[www.richyoungarchitect.com](http://www.richyoungarchitect.com)  
 553-5992 ryoung@aloha.net

**FREE INTERNET MINISTRY**  
**www.TheSanctified.org**

**Instant/Tankless Water Heater**  
 New and used, call "Mr. Pono"

**Pono SolarPower ph. 558-8253**

**ATTEND COLLEGE ONLINE**

from home. \*Medical \*Business  
 \*Paralegal, \*Computers, \*Criminal  
 Justice. Job placement assistance.  
 Computer available. Financial Aid if  
 qualified. Call 866-858-2121  
<http://www.CenturaOnline.com>

**RedWeek.com**

#1 timeshare marketplace. Rent, buy,  
 sell. NEW full-service exchange!  
 Compare prices 5000+ resorts.

**Pono TREE TRIMMING 558 8253**  
**recycle your trees to chips for**  
**mulch, compost, gardening,**  
**water conservation.**



**Hot Water Heater**  
**Instantaneous Tankless**  
**DEPENDABLE**  
**SIMPLICITY**

starting at only \$525 **ENERGY EFFICIENT**

**\$300 Tax Credit 2006-2007**

**Simple to light pilot, and VERY energy efficient**  
 Simple to install new, or to replace your old gas water heater!

**NEW AND USED! SAVE BIG on your electric bill!**

**Call George Peabody: 558-8253**

**Every Wednesday**

**The M.A.N. gets local news we want to know about!**

**This is great news! Now we know why....**

**This is why EVERYONE reads The M.A.N.**

**Advertise in The M.A.N. and.....everybody, EVERYBODY, KNOWS !**

**The Molokai Advertiser-News voted "Most Onolishish" ph. 558-8253**

**Your Company is in good company with The M.A.N.**

## **IRS Admits FRAUD NOT FOR PUBLIC CONSUMPTION: IRS agents do NOT pay income taxes?**

Due to the increasing desire for revenue, our people inside the Internal Revenue Service are being advised to file tax returns for wages. This under the guise that it doesn't look equitable if we don't pay taxes on our wages, yet actively collect them from the common people.

This situation is becoming more acute due to the recent unauthorized mention on National News that warnings have gone out to IRS agents who have not been filing. We have spent so much time trying to convince the general public they must pay taxes, apparently our supervisorial hierarchy have decided we must lose the one perk we get for being a parish in this society. Recognize that many of them file, solely for purposes of making themselves politically acceptable in their aspirations for higher public offices.

Our own Department Counsel (attorney) seems to be leaning toward making payment of taxes a requirement for new IRS employees, and was only minimally helpful in preparing this report. But as there are those of us who do not aspire politically nor who wish to give up our perks and pay taxes on wages like common people, we have provided this in house report, which covers basics of just WHY you don't have to file if your income is primarily wages, WHY it is not a crime, and the basic defenses for your personal lawyer.

While I doubt anyone in the supervisorial hierarchy will risk exposure of our perks and this information to the common people, we must be prepared to protect ourselves from tax collections by our own organization. With that in mind, and with some help from our legal advisors, we have prepared this unofficial internal report, not for public consumption.

### **INTRODUCTION:**

There are both Civil and Criminal sanctions for violations of the Internal Revenue Code, which is found in Title 26, U.S. Code. We will address the criminal side, but the elements of criminal tax evasion and civil tax fraud are identical, (See Gray v Cir., C.A.6 1983, 708 F 2d 2243, cert denied 104 S.Ct 1709) and we must remember, that government invocation of the civil penalty does not bar a criminal proceeding for the imposition of fines or imprisonment. (Spies v U.S. N.Y. 1943, 63 s Ct 364, 317 U.S. 492) Among the more common Criminal offenses for which an individual might be charged:

1. Aiding, abetting, counseling, commanding, inducing, or procuring commission of an offense against the United States (Title 18 U.S.C. sect 2) This includes one who contributes consciously to the commission of an offense against the provisions of the code. (See 47B.CJS sec 1255 and note 33)

2. Any person who willfully attempts to evade or defeat a tax is guilty of a felony (Title 26 U.S.C. sec 7201) (See 47B CJS 1256 at note 43).

3. Any person who willfully fails to keep records required for Federal income tax purposes may be providing the Government with an indictable offense. (Title 26 U.S.C. Sec 7203 & 5603).

4. Any person required to file an income tax return who willfully fails to do so is guilty of a misdemeanor. 26 U.S.C. sec 7203; Spies v U.S. N.Y. 1943, 63 S.Ct. 364) (See 47B CJS 1258 note 86.)

5. Any person who willfully fails to pay a tax required by law is guilty of a misdemeanor. (Title 26 U.S.C. sec 7203) (Sansone v U.S. Mo. 1965, 85 S.Ct. 1004, 380 U.S. 343.)

6. Any person who willfully supplies false or fraudulent information to his employer with respect to the withholding tax requirements is guilty of a punishable offense. (Title 26 U.S.C. sec 7205 as amended.) Economic Recovery Act of 1981 increased the penalty to \$1,000. The Excuse that Fed. Reserve notes are not dollars was a valid defense (U.S. v Tissi, C.A. Mo 1979, 601 F2d 372.)

7. Any person who willfully makes and subscribes any return, statement, or other document which declares that it is made under the penalties of perjury and which such person does not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter is guilty of a felony. (Title 26 U.S.C. 7206 (1)) (See 47B CJS sec 1261 note 44)

8. Any person who willfully aids or assists in, or procures, counsels, or advises the preparation or presentation under, or in connection with any matter arising under the Internal Revenue laws, or a return, affidavit, claim or other document, which is fraudulent or is false as to any information whether or not such falsity or fraud is with the knowledge or consent of the person authorized or required to represent such return, affidavit, claim or document is guilty of a felony. (Title 26 U.S.C. sec 7206 (2)) (See 47B CJS sec 1262)

9. A person who attempts to interfere forcibly with the administration of the Internal Revenue laws by a federal office or employer acting in an official capacity is guilty of a punishable offense. (Title 26 U.S.C. Sec 7212 (a)) (See 47S CJS sect 1265.)

10. Revenue officers or employees who commit specific acts or omissions constitute criminal offenses. (See 47B CJS sect 1271.)

11. Taxation of Firearms, Bombs, Stills, etc. are incorporated in the tax code. (Firearms; Title 26 U.S.C. sec 5871, 5849, 5861, 5811, 5812, 5852, 5841.) (Bombs Title 26 U.S.C. 5845, 5861, 5845.) (Stills & Alcohol U.S.C. 5601 et seq.)

The most common criminal charges we as individuals and employees of the IRS might face from those attempting to tax our wages are "Tax Evasion," and "Willful failure to file."

### **DEFENSE OUTLINE SUMMARY:**

1. Income is not specifically defined in our manuals nor is defined in I.R.S. Code. Congress did not define it.

2. Income has always been defined by the courts as to exclude wages.

3. Therefore persons whose income (NOT WAGES) in 1992 filing singly with less than \$5,900 need not file a return or pay a tax.

4. If an individual has earned dividends, interest from bank accounts, or other moneys which are less than the minimum established, (\$5,900 for one filing separately and under 65 in 1992), he/she need not file, nor pay any tax. He/she is exempt, as wages need not be counted.

5. Make sure your supervisor is aware of the implications to his advancement if he reports you and you are forced to make this information public.

6. Make sure the prosecutor and your lawyer are both aware of the implications should the case not be nol prossed, as this information will become public, and the Assistant U.S. Attorney probably won't be in line for any kind of promotion for endangering the proverbial "goose" when you are found not guilty, and the information goes public to a media already promoting the tax reform.

7. If you are actually tried Criminally, stress to the Judge your reliance on the U.S. Supreme Court in not filing or paying taxes on WAGES, and cite either the Sullivan, Bishop or Cheek case, which states that willfulness is negated if you rely on a previous decision of the U.S. Supreme Court.

8. If you are tried Civilly, have your lawyer move for "Summary Judgment", using the citations that follow or others of which he may be aware.

### **Tax Law Origins and Authority**

Congress has had power to lay and collect income taxes from the time of the adoption of the Constitution, (Brushaber v Union Pac. <<http://r.r.co/>>R.R.Co. N.Y. 1916, 36 S.Ct. 236, 240 U.S.1) This power was subject to the requirement that direct taxes be apportioned among the several states according to population. (Pollock v Farmers Loan and Trust Co. N.Y. 1895, 125 S.Ct 673, 157 U.S. 429) The alleged difficulty of meeting this requirement led to the adoption of the Sixteenth Amendment to the Constitution, effective Feb. 25, 1913, giving congress power to "lay and collect taxes on income, from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several states, and without regard to any census or enumeration" (Evans v Gore, Ky 1920, 40 S.Ct. 550, 253 U.S. 245) (Kasey v C.I.R., C.A. 9 1972, 457 F2d 369 Certiorari denied 93 S.Ct 197, 409 U.S. 869)

It did not limit or expand the power of Congress to tax under the constitutional provisions authorizing Congress to lay and collect taxes but instead merely

provided for taxation of income without apportionment. (Brushaber v Union Pac. <<http://r.r.co/>>R.R.Co. N.Y. 1916, 36 S.Ct. 236, 240 U.S. 1, 60 L.Ed 493; Simmons v U.S., CA Md 1962 308 F2d 160. Pledger v CIR CA 5, 1981, 641 F2d 287, certiorari denied 102 S.Ct. 504, 454, U.S. 964, 70 L.Ed. 2d 379) **The Brushaber court ruled that the 16th Amendment separated the source (capital) from the income (profit) permitting the collection of an indirect (excise) tax on income, but leaving the source (wages, salary, compensation, fees for service, first time commissions and capital) untouched and free of tax. If these things were to be taxed, it could only be construed as a direct tax, unquestionably in violation of the Constitution, making the entire tax on income void.**

There still remains the question as to what is constitutionally allowable as "income" which can be taxed, as Congress is not constitutionally free to define "income" in any way it chooses. (Simpson v U.S. D.C. Iowa 1976, 423 F.Supp 720, reversed, Prescott v Commissioner of Internal Revenue C.A. 561 F2d 1287) Further, the labels used do not determine the extent of the taxing power. (Simmons v U.S. C.A. Md 1962, 308 F2d 160. Richardson v U.S. C.A. Mich 1961 294 F2d 593 certiorari denied 82 S.Ct. 640, 360 U.S. 802, 7 L.Ed. 2d 549.)

**To reiterate, the tax authorized under the original U.S. Constitution has not changed except as to separate the source of "income" from the income itself, permitting the collection of an indirect (excise) tax on income, by leaving the source (wages, salaries, fees for service, and first time commissions) free of tax (Brushaber supra) despite how some politicians interpret the 16th Amendment.**

(Note: The Brushaber court referred to an earlier case, Pollock v Farmers Loan and Trust Co., 158 U.S. 601 (1895) which declared the Income Tax Act of 1894 unconstitutional, as it's effect would have been to leave the burden of the tax to be born by professions, trades, employments; and in that way, what was intended as a tax on capital would remain, in substance, a tax on occupations and labor. This result the court held could NOT have been contemplated by Congress.)

(Note: There are also the questions as to both the ratification and the constitutionality of the 16th Amendment, but neither has been ruled on by the Supreme Court and why clutter up a good defense?)

Since the general term "income" is not defined in the Internal Revenue Code, (U.S. v Ballard 535 F2d 400 (1976)) and the U.S. Supreme Court has ruled the congress may not, by any definition it may adopt, conclude the matter, since it cannot by legislation alter the Constitution, from which alone it derives it's power to legislate, and within whose limitations alone, that power can be lawfully exercised. (Eisner v Macomber, 252 U.S. 1889(1920))

**Since the Rules contained in the I.R.S. Manual, even if codified in the code of Federal Regulations, do not have the force and effect of law (U.S. v Horne, C.A. Me. 1983, 714 F2d 206) and the power to promulgate regulations does not include the power to broaden or narrow the meaning of statutory provisions beyond what Congress intended, (Abbot, Procter & Paine v U.S. 1965 344 F2d 333, 170 Ct Cl 408) and regulations cannot do what Congress itself is without power to do; they must conform to the Constitution. (C.I.R. v Van Vorst, C.C.A. 1932, 59 F2d 677)**

**NEXT: PART II**

# IRS Admits FRAUD

## PART II

Since the ultimate appellate court is the U.S. Supreme Court, we must look to them for a definite answer on the question of conformance and affirmation of our little secret that wages are not classified as income which can be taxed.

The Court has recognized that... "it becomes essential to distinguish between what is, and what is not "income".." Eisner v Macomber, 252 U.S. 189 (1920) and determined the "...income" as used in the statute should be given a meaning so as not to include everything that comes in, the true function of the words "gains" and "profits" is to limit the meaning of the word "income" ." (So Pacific v Lowe, 238 F 847.) (U.S. Dist Ct. S.D. N.Y. 1917); 247 U.S. 30 (1918)).

The Court determined that "...the definition of income approved by the Court is: The gain derived from capital, from labor, or from both combined, provided it be understood to include profits gained through sale or conversion of capital assets. " (Eisner Supra)

"Income within the meaning of the 16th Amendment and the Revenue Act means, gain ....and in such connection gain means, profit... proceeding from property severed from capital, however invested or employed and coming in, received or drawn by the taxpayer for his separate use, benefit and disposal" (Staples v U.S. 21 F.Supp 737 (U.S. Dist Ct ED PA, 1937)

In the case of Lucas v Earl, 281 US 111 (1930), the U.S. Supreme Court stated unambiguously that; "The claim that salaries, wages and compensation for personal services are to be taxed as an entirety and therefore must be returned by the individual who has performed the services which produced the gain is without support either in the language of the Act or in the decisions of the courts construing it. Not only this, but it is directly opposed to provisions of the Act and to regulations of the U.S. Treasury Dept. which either prescribe or permit that compensation for personal services be not taxed as an entirety and be not returned by the individual performing the services. It is to be noted that by the language of the Act it is not salaries, wages or compensation for personal services that are to be included in gross income. That which is to be included is gains, profits and income DERIVED from salaries, wages or compensation for personal service.

The Court ruled similarly in Goodrich v Edwards, 255 U.S. 527 (1921) and in 1969, the Court ruled in Connor v U.S. 303 F supp 1187, that, "whatever may constitute income, therefore must have the essential feature of gain to the recipient. This was true when the 16th Amendment became effective, it was true at the time of Eisner v Macomber supra, it was true under sect 22 (a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1938, and it is likewise true under sect 61 (a) of the I.R.S. Code of 1954. If there is not gain there is not income ... Congress has taxed INCOME and not compensation." "... one does not derive income by rendering services and charging for them." (Edwards v Keith, 231 F111, 1916).

Even at the State level, we find courts following the lead of the U.S. Supreme Court, "There is a clear distinction between profit and wages or compensation for labor. Compensation for labor cannot be regarded as profit within the meaning of the law. (Oliver v Halstead, 196 Va. 992; 86 S.E. 2d 858, 1955) and reasonable compensation for labor or services rendered is not profit. (Lauderdale Cemetery Assoc. v Matthews, 345 Pa. 239; 47 A. 2d 277, 280, 1946).

Since the above cases are the undisputable law with respect to what is or is not income, we find the word income does not mean all monies that come into the possession of an individual, but profit or gain FROM the money one takes in, such as interest, stock dividends, profit from an employee's labors, but not from an individual's wages, which are compensation for his labor. This means that the average person in America, who has no large investments or riches upon which he receives interest, dividends, etc, in excess of the amounts listed above (1992) but merely works for wages, has income insufficient in amount to be required to file a tax return.

### HANDLING A JURY TRIAL:

While you might be better off with bench trial,

which may never be tried due to the nature of the suit, there may be a time when you are tried by jury. Even if you get a jury trial that is outraged by an agent not paying taxes you cannot be condemned. Both Tax Evasion and Failure to File require "willfulness." Again we look to the U.S. Supreme Court, and find that, "The requirement of an offense committed willfully is not met, therefore if a taxpayer has relied in good faith upon a prior decision of the court." (U.S. v Bishop, 412 U.S. 346, 1973 at 2017, 93 S.Ct. 2008), and (U.S. v Sullivan, 274 U.S. 259, 263-264.)

Since any reasonably knowledgeable and intelligent person filing a return, invoking this argument MUST rely on U.S. Supreme Court's interpretation of "income" that person when brought into court may rely on the decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court to negate the element of "willfulness". Make sure those jury instructions are made to the jury, and bring them up in testimony if you like. As if this was not enough, any question in a juror's mind can be swayed in your favor with this citation:

"Statutes levying taxes should be construed in case of doubt, against the government and in favor of the citizen." (Miller v Gearing 258 F 225).

### HANDLING YOUR OWN DEFENSE:

Make sure to read 47B C.J.S. Section 1283 among other sources regarding parameters of jury instructions.

### INITIAL DEFENSE:

1. Determine what returns you are being charged with evading or "not" filing, as "Income tax liability for any one year constitutes a single cause of action" (Lewis v Reynolds, 284 U.S. 281)

2. Determine whether they are beyond a statute of limitations to sue since Congress has consented to a defense to which in effect is a statute of limitations, (Lucia v U.S. C.A. Tex. 1973, 474 F2d 565) and under the Code, (Title 26 USCA sect 6502) a suit is barred when not brought within the statutory limitation period, and move to dismiss any counts which are past the statute of limitations.

3. Refuse to produce anything the government does not already have on us from payroll. We may refuse any I.R.S Summons not judicially enforced, as long as the attack is in good faith the statute we usually refer to (Title 26 USC 7210) which prescribes criminal punishment for anyone refusing to obey an Internal Revenue summons for production records, was addressed by the U.S. Supreme Court, in Reisman v Caplink, 375 U.S. 440. The court stated:

"Non compliance is not subject to prosecution thereunder, when the summons is attacked in good faith." And by the same token, it seems that one who makes a good faith challenge to specific questions on a 1040 tax return is not subject to successful prosecution."

The courts have also stated that "Broad Discretions given tax officers with regard to investigations is for legitimate tax investigations and is not a license for official harassment of the citizenry. (U.S. v Cutter, 374 F Supp 1065.)

While we are insiders and not merely citizenry, and will feel heat at work, we are still citizens, and any prejudice or pressure put on us can be handled. They cannot "read our rights" while our supervisors are "talking to us" as enumerated in the Mathis Decision, No 726, May 6, 1938, 3 910 S Winterhaven Fl) If no rights given us then we move to suppress the evidence gathered through conversation.

4. Prepare motion to dismiss, using this document as reference.

5. Prepare requests for Jury instructions or Requests for findings of fact and rulings of law.

6. Make sure the submitted Jury instructions contain what you want to argue in front of the Jury. (See U.S. v Watkind, Fed Case No 16.649 (3 Cranch, CC 441 U.S. 1829) as "Counsel will not be permitted to argue before a jury questions of law not involved in the instructions asked and submitted to the court."

### SPECIFIC CHARGES AND LAW:

Under provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, (Title 26 USCA Sec 7201), any person who willfully attempts to evade or defeat a tax is guilty of a felony (See notes 43 at C.J.S. 1256)

### ELEMENTS:

The essential elements of the offense are:

a. Willfulness, (U.S. v Garbor, C.A. Fl. 1979, 607 F2d 92) means a voluntary intentional violation of a known legal duty (See 47B CJS 1256 note 45) which may be shown through consistent patterns of not reporting large amounts of income. (See 47B CJS 1256 note 46) a bona fide mistake, negligence, carelessness, or misunderstanding is not sufficient. So while intent is a necessary element of the statutory offense, (See 47B CJS 1256 Note 48) there is no requirement of a showing of evil motive beyond a specific intent to violate the law. (See 47B CJS 1256 Note 49)

b. The existence of a tax deficiency.

c. An affirmative act constituting an evasion or attempted evasion of a tax. (An intent to evade taxes is the equivalent of an intent to defraud the government. (U.S. v Miller, C.A. Cal 1976 545 F2d 1204, certiorari denied 97 S.Ct 1549, 430 U.S. 930).

Generally, conduct which is likely to mislead or conceal is sufficient to raise an inference of an affirmative willful attempt, such as is required to constitute the offense of attempt to evade or defeat tax (See 47B CJS Sec 1256 att Note 67), and if the tax evasion motive plays any part in the conduct of the taxpayer, the offense may be made out even though such conduct may also serve other purposes, such as the concealment of other crime (CJS Supra at Note 68). Any affirmative act which the taxpayer might do where the effect and reasonable purpose would be to evade or defeat the tax will constitute the offense (CJS Supra at Note 69)

The filing of a false return is an independent crime and also one aspect of the more comprehensive offense considered here (CJS. Supra at Note 70), and the crime is complete when a fraudulent is knowingly and willfully filed with intent to evade and defeat part or all of the tax (CJS Supra at Note 71). Where the necessary intention is present, the offense may be committed not only by the filing of a false original return (CJS Supra at Note 72), but also by the filing of false amended returns, proofs, or affidavit, even though such instruments are not required to be filed (CJS Supra at Note 73). The crime may be committed by taking fraudulent deduction (CJS Supra at Note 74), as well as by fraudulently failing to report income received (CJS Supra at Note 75). When no wagering excise tax return has been filed, an individual cannot be criminally prosecuted for willfully attempting to evade or defeat the tax, notwithstanding fact that wagering taxes may be due and owing (CJS Supra at Note 75.5).

The word "willfully" when used in the Revenue Code which renders certain acts criminal, has the same meaning in the felony provisions as it does in the misdemeanor provisions. (47B CJS sect 1254 at Note 23) This word as used in the Code's criminal provisions connotes a voluntary and intentional violation of a known legal duty ((47B CJS 1254 at note 24) at Note 25) and is not equated with mere carelessness or recklessness (U.S. v Swanson C.A. Iowa 1975, 509 F 2d 1205). Even gross negligence is not sufficient to establish willfulness (478 CJS 1254 at Note 27) The willful requirement is not met if the defendant has relied on good faith on a prior decision of the Supreme Court (47B CJS 1254 at Note 28).

I.R.S. statutory offenses, where the law contains the words, "with intent to evade", the intent is material to the offense. (U.S. v Buzzo, Mich 18773, 18 Wall. 125, 21 L.Ed 418)

## DEFENSES: Part III

# IRS Admits FRAUD

## PART III

### DEFENSES:

A) The offense is not committed unless the taxpayer has actual knowledge of the existence of the obligation and a wrongful intent to evade it. (47B CJS 1256 at Note 50)

B) The requirement of willfulness is not met if a taxpayer has relied in "good faith" on a prior decision of U.S. Supreme Court (47B CJS 1256 at Note 51).

C) A person's mistaken belief that his method of recording income is proper is a defense (47B CJS 12566 at Note 52).

D) Reliance on the advice of counsel in a tax evasion case is not a complete defense, but only a circumstance indicating good faith which may be considered on the issue of willfulness (47B CJS 12566 at Note 55). Essential to the claim of reliance on counsel is a showing that the reliance be in good faith, and that the advice be obtained after full disclosure of all the facts to which the advice pertains (47B CJS 1256 at Note 56). There must also be a showing that the taxpayer actually relied on the advice, believing it to be correct (47B CJS 1256 at Note 57).

E) A tax return is not criminally fraudulent simply because it is erroneous; willfulness is an essential element of crime of income tax evasion. (U.S. v Garner, C.A.F1 1979, 607 F 2d 92)

F) It is not an offense for the taxpayer so to handle his affairs, as to avoid or reduce tax liability, provided his acts are legal. Continental Oil Co. v Jones, D.C. Rohl 1939, 26 F. Supp 694, affirmed 113 F2d 557, certiorari denied 61 S. Ct. 64, 34 U.S. 687.

G) Good faith listing of three billion dependents on his W-4 was ruled proper. U.S. v Snider, 502 F 2d 645, (1974).

#### UNACCEPTABLE DEFENSES:

A) It is no excuse that defendant had kept no books, disclosing his income and expenses (U.S. v Zimmerman, C.Ca III 1940, 108 F2d 370, or that the income in question was derived from unlawful sources (47B CJS 1256 at Note 54).

B) Merely aggravating and daring the government to enforce the code does not create immunity from, or constitute a defense to prosecution (U.S. v Stout, C.A. III 1979, 601 F2d 325, Certiorari denied 100 s Ct 481, 444 U.S. 979.)

C) Excuse that Federal Reserve Notes are not dollars was not a valid defense (U.S. v Tissi, C.A. Mo 1979, 601 F2d 372).

### Tax Evasion vs Willful Failure to File:

The felony of attempting to evade or defeat a tax may include one or several of other offenses against the Code (47B CJS 1256 at Note 63), the misdemeanor of failure to pay the tax. The difference is that an attempt to evade or defeat a tax involves some commission of some affirmative act in ADDITION to willful omission (Sansone v U.S. Mo 1965, 85 S.Ct. 1004, 380 U.S. 343) (Spies infra).

#### WILLFUL FAILURE TO FILE:

Any person required to file an income tax return who willfully fails to do so is guilty of a misdemeanor. (Title 26, sec 7203; Spies v U.S. N.Y. 1943, 63 S. Ct 364.) (See 47B CJS 1258 at note 86.)

#### THE ELEMENTS THE GOVERNMENT MUST PROVE ARE:

1. Defendant failed to file a return (47B CJS 1258 at Note 89).

2. He must be a person required to make a return (47B CJS 1258 at Note 93).

3. He must have done so willfully (47B CJS 1258 at Note 90).

The word "willfully" in the Statute means a voluntary, intentional violation of the known legal duty to file a return (47B CJS 1258 at Note 5), and the taxpayer's motives in failing to file such are immaterial and irrelevant (47B CJS 1258 at Note 96). Some cases have construed the statute as not requiring an

intent to defraud the government or other similar bad purpose or evil motive (47B CJS 1258 at Note 97), while other cases have held that Failure to file a return "willfully" means that the act must have been activated by a bad purpose or evil motive (47B CJS 1258 at Note 98).

Willfulness means a voluntary intentional violation of a known legal duty (47B CJS 1256 at Note 45) which may be shown through consistent patterns of not reporting large amounts of income.

An act may be done knowingly and intentionally whether as the immediate act, of the person charged, or his authorized act through an employee. (Prather v U.S. 1834, 9 App D.C. 82).

### DEFENSES:

He must have actual knowledge of the existence of the obligation, and a wrongful intent to evade it. (47B CJS 1258 at Note 91).

Defendant's good faith belief that he need not file his tax return (47B CJS 1258 at Note 99), or a good faith misunderstanding or an inadvertence on his part (47B CJS 1258 at Note 91) has been said to constitute justification for failure to file a return.

**It has been held that a taxpayer, who in good faith, declines to acknowledge his income on an income tax return, asserting instead his Fifth Amendment privilege, has been held not guilty of willfully failing to file a tax return, since he sincerely believes that such 5th Amendment filing is not legal (47B CJS 1258 at Note 77).**

Tax forms which do not contain financial information upon which the taxpayers liability can be determined, (47B CJS 1258 at Note 93) such as forms containing only one's name, address, social security numbers, and occupation (47B CJS 1258 at Note 94), do not constitute "returns" within the meaning of the statute.

#### UNACCEPTABLE DEFENSES:

a) A taxpayer is not excused from the offense because he had not previously been prompted or notified of his duty to file a return (478 CJS 1258 at Note 2), because he disagreed with the law (47B CJS 1258 at Note 3), or because he believed in good faith that the statute (47B CJS 1258 at Note 4) or the Federal Reserve System (47B CJS 1258 at note 5) was unconstitutional.

b) Defendant's fear of self incrimination for previous violations of the Code is no defense to a charge of failure to file a return (47B CJS 1258 at Note 6).

Subsequent conduct of the defendant cannot relieve him from criminal liability for failure to file a return (47B CJS 1258 at Note 8). It is no defense that the defendant intended to file a return and to pay his taxes in the future (47B CJS 1258 at Note 9), and even the fact that the taxpayer voluntarily filed delinquent returns does not preclude prosecution (47B CJS 1258 at Note 10).

c) Compromise of Civil liability. The prosecution is not barred by a compromise of the civil liability (47B CJS 1258 at Note 11).

### Conclusion:

**As you can see, by negating wages as income, only profit or gain need to be considered, making most persons ineligible for filing. There is no willful act, no omission, no intent, and no income ... hence no case for the prosecution, and even if confronted by an angry jury, by relying on the U.S. Supreme Court decisions, YOU MUST BE ACQUITTED AS A MATTER OF LAW. If you are not acquitted, your lawyer will for a Judgment not withstanding the verdict, and/or appeal, from which you will, be eventually found not guilty.**