

Molokai Advertiser-News

Volume 22 Number 45

The Militia of Molokai's Community Newspaper—Every Wednesday

November 22, 2006



left to right: Greg Wolney, Gary Lam, Bo Mahoe, Daryl Ishizaki

USPS Oath of Office for Lam and Mahoe

Cummins Mahoe, known as "Bo" to Kaunakakai Post Office patrons and Gary Lam took the oath of office at ceremonies recently. Daryl Ishizaki, district manager for Hawaii, Samoa, Guam, Saipan, Rota and Tinian spoke briefly about the mission of the USPS and its history from the days of Benjamin Franklin. Greg Wolney, manager of Postal Operations in Hawaii administered the oath of office.



Bo, who came to the Kaunakakai post office about a year ago from Lahaina and Honolulu gave praise for his staff at the largest PO on the island. Bo, who grew up in Lahaina, expressed his appreciation for the community and understanding of deep respect for the Molokai values of "kupuna" and "Ohana."

Gary introduced past postmasters from Maunaloa, Kaunakakai, Hoolehua and Kualapuu. Robert Arakawa, Bea Moran, Peggy Keahi Leary, Edward Shima (wife Akiko) and Robert Nakagawa (wife Midge) was present. More history of the Postal Service was present in Reynolds Ayau, said the pule and whose Aunt Mabel Ayau was postmistress in Ho'olehua.

Noe Cluney whose late husband Raymond Ornellas built and was postmaster of the present Hoolehua site. Harriett Potter, whose mother Julia K. Pali was the first postmistress in Hoolehua in 1928, and Noe Cluney were given the honor of cutting the ribbons around the roll which turned out to be a new entrance floor mat for "United States Postal Service - Hoolehua 96729."



Winter Makule Coed Volleyball Playoff

November 9th the first games were played and by November 28th, organizer Emma Kauka planned to have a champion for the Fall Makule Co-ed Volleyball playoffs. Usually, the Winter Makule League begins in November and plays until January. This year a slightly different format has four teams. Playing on Tuesdays and Thursday evenings, beginning at 7pm in the Kaunakakai Gym.

Teams include Drifters (Abu); Junet (Julie), Stop It (Cora) and Cappy's (Judy).

Men on the team must be 50 years or older and women must be 45 years or older. Players on each team number 4 males and 2 females OR 2 males and 4 females, OR 3 males and 3 females. Some of the rules of the 2006 season are: 1 male and 1 female MUST contact the ball before going over the net, UNLESS it is a hard driven ball (Official's discretion). Teams play 2 out of 3 sets, 21 points per game rally score. If duce, must win by two points.

Teams are scheduled to play each of the evenings scheduled: November 9, 14, 21 and 28. For a fun exciting spectator sport, check it out at the Kaunakakai Gym.

Federal Judge's Impertinances Struck; Maui Prosecutor Says She will Dismiss Contempt Case Against George Peabody

The Maui County Deputy Prosecutor Cody Minatodani has said she would dismiss the case of contempt of court against George Peabody. He was unceremoniously kidnapped from Molokai Airport on July 12, 2006 by several Maui Police Officers while he waited to board a plane to Honolulu to file his nomination papers for governor. Police showed no warrant, but said they had a warrant for his arrest for contempt of court dated back in January 10, 2006. Peabody denied the charge, but had to pay \$200 ransom to secure his freedom, missed his plane, but filed his nomination papers 13th.

Apparently he was correct, and the prosecutor Cody Minatodani, phoned him November 17 at about 0917 hrs and left this message: "Hey, George. This is Cody from the Maui Prosecutor's office, calling to let you know that I am going to be asking the judge to dismiss your case because the date of the contempt which is January 10, 2006. You never were ordered to come to court on that date. So, again, um, I am going to dismiss your case because you never were ordered to come to court on January 10, 2006. If you have any questions, you know where to call me. Bye." [Nov. 28 is hearing]

Peabody said that was good news, and that he has "no record of being ordered to appear, and this is a false arrest apparently authorized by Douglas Ige who runs the Molokai District Chancery racketeering operation through which he obstructs justice and, under color of law and with his private force of goons-with-guns, extorts money and wastes time from Molokai residents who have committed no crime under the Constitution for the United States of America. Ige has no jurisdiction over sovereigns!"

This case is related to a 1999 incident when Mark Marting dog warden, and MPD's Chief Thomas Phillips et al with Tim Meyer filed false charges against Peabody after he had reported six MPD officers poaching deer in the Kaluakoi area. Peabody eventually removed the case to United States Court where the case was closed in 2005 for failure of the Maui Prosecutor to pursue the case. Then in January 2006, Douglas Ige issued a Bench Warrant, but nobody ever told Peabody until July.

Since then, George Peabody sui juris, ex rel has entered Declaratory Judgment, Notice of Injunction, Writ of Execution and Habeas Corpus Ad Satisfaciendum, Writ of Mandamus and Executive Order in an Action At Law criminal proceeding against government agents responsible for these injustices and demanding \$10,000 in token restitution: Linda Lingle et al, Douglas Ige et al.

"Defending my rights as a sovereign Citizen of the United States of America has stirred up government forces like a hornets nest," said Peabody. "Two corrupt attorneys from the State Attorney General's office, Russell Suzuki and Robyn Chun, moved without authorization or standing to have federal chief judge Helen Gillmor intervene in the case to protect Lingle and Ige, et al. This has resulted in Peabody's "Decision and Final Order" posted inside this issue of The MAN [see page 2 in 11-22MAN]



Celebrate the Bill of Right Day Dec 15

DEFEND YOUR RIGHTS! If you cherish your rights and freedoms as an American, you'll want to observe the special day that honors the foundation for them all. Celebrating Bill of Rights Day encourages awareness of our precious national heritage, the Bill of Rights. If you want to eliminate "gun control," invasions of your privacy, and draconian government agencies, then help us bring back a Bill of Rights culture in Hawaii. Remember, if YOU don't defend your rights, YOU will lose them, at the hands of politicians, police and judges!

The recent election has shown us that even the most patient of Americans are getting tired of judges and police who trample on our rights at every turn. A simple solution would be a return to the Bill of Rights.

All new or existing legislation must be submitted to the "Bill of Rights Test" -- if it violates the Bill of Rights, it gets tossed. Do you think the IRS, DEA, or BATFE would pass the Bill of Rights test? Such a test would quickly eliminate the most egregious violations of our rights and help bring about a Bill of Rights culture for our freedom.

What would a Bill of Rights culture look like in Hawaii? Read our 2-part article "You'll be Freer and Richer in the Bill of Rights Culture [www.jpfo.org/borculture.htm]

The computer link below shows a real life video of a student being repeatedly shot with a stun gun by UCLA police for the not showing his ID last week. As similar cases begin to pile up how long will it be before Americans are routinely tortured for non-compliance and refusing to have their 4th amendment violated?

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/november2006/161106torturedid.htm>

Federal Judge's Impertinances Struck by Decision and Final Order

UNITED STATES COURT
HAWAII JUDICIAL DISTRICT
CITY OF HONOLULU

George Peabody, EX REL)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
CLAIMANT) CASE NO. CV0600431*
)
VS) DECISION AND FINAL ORDER
)
LINDA LINGLE, DOUGLAS H. IGE) CERTIFIED UNITED STATES MAIL
ET AL) _____70060100000717216932__
RESPONDENTS)

DECISION AND FINAL ORDER

COMES NOW, George Peabody, United States National Citizen Sui Juris in Proper Persona representative of the United States of America and real party to the action, who appears to conduct Court as a natural being in his own countenance under 28 U.S.C. § 1654 who swears, affirms and verifies as proved in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746 the following DECISION AND FINAL ORDER for the prosecution of the judgment in the case of trespass on his rights and crimes against the United States of America and states that:

1. The entered DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION, ACTION AT LAW, CAVEAT AND PROHIBITION, MANDAMUS AND PRACIPE, WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, NOTICE OF INJUNCTION, and MEMORANDUM BRIEF OF LAW of record are appended to this document and incorporated by reference to-wit: (H.I.)
2. The entered ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE and SUPPLEMENTARY PROCEEDINGS of record are appended to this document and incorporated by reference to-wit: (H.I.)
3. The entered WRIT OF EXECUTION and HABEAS CORPUS AD SATISFACIENDUM of record are appended this document and incorporated by reference to-wit:(H.I.)
4. The entered WRIT OF MANDAMUS and EXECUTIVE ORDER of record are appended to this document and incorporated by reference to-wit: (H.I.)
5. This Action at Law being commenced under F.R.Civ.P. 3 on August 7, 2006, the time to refute the facts or to demand jury trial has lapsed.
6. Respondents Linda Lingle, Douglas H. Ige, and all others incorporated under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1962 into these judicial proceedings of record including but not limited to Helen Gillmor, Russell Suzuki, Robyn Chun and Cody Minatodani have failed to appear timely in respect to this court either in person or by counsel and are subject to sanctions and penalties imposed under Rule 16(f) and/or 18 U.S.C. § 401.
7. The motion for court order made by Russell Suzuki and Robyn Chun under Rule 7(b)(1) was reasonably dismissed for lack of merit and struck on September 23, 2006, for impertinence on the court's own initiative pursuant to Rule 12(f).
8. The formal order tendered to this tribunal and submitted to its jurisdiction for by Helen Gillmor on September 26, 2006, is summarily dismissed for the complete absence of jurisdiction of Ms Gillmor to attempt such usurpation.
9. The United States Marshal for the district is still mandated and empowered under 28 U.S.C. § 566 and hereby duly directed to seize the persons of Linda Lingle and Douglas H. Ige to lawfully compel them to attend the special session of United States Court at Molokai District Courtroom, Kaunakakai on November 28, 2006 at 8:30 a.m., for the purposes of securing the judgment pecuniary penalty under the common process in remedy at F.R.Civ.P. 64 however it will satisfy justice if parties Russell Suzuki or and Robyn Chun, and Cody Minatodani, attend this court on November 28, 2006, for the purposes of securing the payment of judgment and costs.
10. Should United States Marshal, Mark M. Hanohano, prove unable or incompetent to fulfill his duty then a STATE OF NATIONAL EMERGENCY exists and Rear Admiral Townsend G. Alexander or the acting commander of Hawaii Naval Region is STILL directed under the authority of the Constitution for the United States of America and 10 U.S.C. § 332 et seq to come to the aid of United States Court in the enforcement of the Supreme Law of the Land in the name of the President of the United States pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 45.(H.I.)

MEMORANDUM BRIEF OF LAW

At this point in the judicial proceedings there is no affirmative controversy that this court has failed to meet all conditions necessary to insure justice in accordance with the Constitution for the United States of America nor that there is any bar to the prosecution to execution of the judgment so rendered in law.

The respondents have been duly notified of the action at law in complaint, been notified of the Declaratory Judgment rendered and duly summoned to appear to answer to the law and have failed to do so in a manner acknowledged by judicial procedure thus waiving and forfeiting any obligation of this COURT to allow any confrontation or jury determination of the offense.

In accordance with due process of law Lingle and Ige and all those included in crime as identified by the RICO Act and other law cited are impeached and convicted by common course of justice having demurred to the evidence and never denying the facts and the law. It was not necessary to provide further evidence of organized crime by enticing the chief judge of the United States district court to become involved in the felony but this court shall address the matter.

It judicially noticed by this court that Helen Gillmor has tendered her arguments to this COURT and thereupon submitted her legal suggestions for lawful determination and, lest she feel slighted by justice, this tribunal will address her immaterial concerns courteously as though she were a creature of substance in regards to the due process of justice under the unique nature of the procedure for declaratory judgment.

Presumably, Helen Gillmor is no longer "officially" employed by the corrupted

organization in the racketeering enterprise complained of earlier but seemingly wishes to admit to the facts and the law and also demurrer to the evidence and subsequently become a defendant for further prosecution. This is granted and every word of her quixotic submission shall hereafter be fairly examined by this court.

Initially her title page is incorrect in as much as it implies that the Declaratory Judgment has been assigned or referred to her as judge-magistrate or to Magistrate Judge Kevin S. Chang. To-wit: "CIVIL NO. 06-00431 HG-KSC"

The law permits neither Helen Gillmor nor Kevin S. Chang to enter this court for the purposes of misprison and no statute, rule or provision of the Constitution for the United States of America has been cited to allow her to file any motion to this court so the law will examine her document only as tendered in equity for submission.

With willful intent Gillmor has attempted to obscure the fact that this court of record is United States Court held in the District created under 28 U.S.C. § 132 not consisting of Helen Gillmor and Kenneth S. Chang in chancery but as provided by law, rule or order of court exercising judicial power ex parte under a Citizen conducting his case. For the purposes of law this court is a superior court with original jurisdiction and not an inferior tribunal.

There is a provision for practitioners to "electronically sign" contracts and some documents but that requires more than what is on this supposed "order." "Courts meet the federal rules requirements of an "original" signature on documents filed with the court in different ways. On papers filed electronically, this requirement may be met with the log-in and password, and the signature indicated by s/ or /s. For documents, such as an affidavit that must be signed by an individual, the paper may be scanned in so that an electronic image is available and can be viewed. Or the actual signed document may be kept on file by the attorney or petitioner, and an abbreviated paper document that confirms the document was signed may be filed. Or the actual signed document may be held by the attorney as an officer of the court." Otherwise, Rule 11 and 28 U.S.C. § 1746 both require a signature of a party or attorney for validity. Helen Gillmor is prohibited from attorney practice by 28 U.S.C. § 454, and mandated by law to disqualify her self as a judge if her beliefs affect the record under 28 U.S.C. § 455 and prevent her from doing her duty to the United States of America and George Peabody.

In the absence of any provision that an order must be issued in the name of a particular officer as in Supreme Court Rule 45, to-wit: Rule 45. Process; Mandates 1. All process of this Court issues in the name of the President of the United States.

Ms Gillmor's name and title present no validation in any law. What has possibly happened is that one or more attorneys have tendered a formal order over the typewritten name of the judge to the clerk under Rule 58 and that the clerk or deputy has filed it on September 26, 2006, without notifying George Peabody, a real party to this action at law, of a date and time for a hearing on this matter, and without awaiting orders from the judge who cannot violate the ethics of her position in this manner lawfully. It is also possible that Ms Gillmor may not have known that on the previous day at about 9:30 am on Monday, Sept. 25, 2006, I, George Peabody ex rel sui juris, faxed a copy of my document titled "Writ of Mandamus and Executive Order" to the Clerk of United States District Court in Honolulu for filing to the record, the same day I sent to the Clerk by Certified U.S. mail a hard copy original and two copies of the same document to be filed to the record in this case—the green return of service card shows the Clerk did receive it for filing on Sept. 26, 2006—but it was not filed until September 27, 2006 the day after Suzuki & Chun's Motion was obviously expedited for filing with Gillmor's name. However, this stinks of a fraud on Court and Obstruction of justice and Conspiracy. [Notice to all Parties, attorneys, and the Clerks of Court: intentionally corrupting the record of judicial proceedings, and or to conspire to violate my right to due process are felonies].

Since Ms Gillmor's name appears only in print without her written signature, presumably with her knowledge, court will continue on the presumption that she has written the following "order" on behalf of her clients and is responsible for it, she titled "ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO DISMISS AND DENYING CLAIMANT'S "WRIT OF EXECUTION HABEAS CORPUS AD SATISFACIENDUM"

Deputy Attorney General Steven K. Chang has volunteered to the knowledge of the court that "the cited authority does not infuse individuals or entities with the authority to preside as a court, or to impose judgments" although Ms Gillmor is not deemed any sort of "authority" and has not cited any statute, rule or provision of the Constitution for the United States of America that would grant her any jurisdiction to obstruct justice.

Gillmor's own statements are proof that she is unable to understand fundamentals of law since the documents filed of record that her hyperbole is describing cite the constitutional authority of Acts of Congress and common rules of procedure used throughout the United States of America and every place subject to that jurisdiction. Gillmor is an elderly woman, a judge, a presumptuous attorney and an accessory after the fact attempting to assist felons to escape justice in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 3.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration: "Procedural Background On August 8, 2006, the pro se claimant George Peabody ("Peabody") filed an unintelligible document bizarrely labeled as a "Declaratory Judgment, Statement of Jurisdiction, Action at Law, Caveat and Prohibition, Mandamus and Pracipec (sic), Write (sic) of Habaes (sic) Corpus, Notice of Injunction, Memorandum Brief of Law, Verification, Oath of Office, and Notice of Service". (Doc. 1). On September 12, 2006, Peabody filed a second document, styled as a "Writ of Execution Habeas Corpus Ad Satisfaciendum", purporting to "order" the U. S. Marshals Service "to seize the persons of [Governor] Linda Lingle and [Judge] Douglas H. Ige". (Doc. 1). Peabody also "ordered" payment to himself of ten thousand dollars and purported to impose his own "injunction" against Governor Lingle to constrain her "from committing crimes prohibited by the United States of America against George Peabody,....[see next page]

page 2 Judge's Impertinances Struck by Decision and Final Order

...Citizen/National of the United States of America."

Gillmor is obviously able to read and to criticize the documents and has repeated their titles verbatim, which belies any scurrilous allegation that they are "unintelligible." This has not stopped her from misquoting the record, however, and George Peabody has ever proceeded SUI JURIS without ever claiming to be ATTORNEY PRO SE. Gillmor is a political appointee apparently unlearned in law contrary to eight hundred years of Anglo-American law. "We will appoint as justices, constables, sheriffs, or bailiffs only such as know the law of the realm and mean to observe it well." —Great Charter of English Liberties Clause 45, John, king of England, 1215.

Judges are creatures of statute. "We have no officers in this government, from the President down to the most subordinate agent, who does not hold office under the law, with prescribed duties and limited authority. And while some of these, as the President, the Legislature, and the Judiciary, exercise powers in some sense left to the more general definitions necessarily incident to fundamental law found in the Constitution, the larger portion of them are the creation of statutory law, with duties and powers prescribed and limited by that law." IN RE FLOYD ACCEPTANCES, 74 U.S. 666 (1868)

Of the two code sections describing the duties of the office neither permits the judge to exercise judicial power, to adjudicate controversies or to conduct cases for either party and the only function of this official is ministerial which in this case is redundant since the office of the clerk has already taken acknowledgment of the documents that Gillmor has just acknowledged.

28 U.S.C. § 453. Oaths of justices and judges. Each justice or judge of the United States shall take the following oath or affirmation before performing the duties of his office: "I, XXX XXX, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as XXX under the Constitution and laws of the United States. So help me God."

28 U.S.C. § 459. Administration of oaths and acknowledgments. Each justice or judge of the United States may administer oaths and affirmations and take acknowledgments.

This court is baffled by Ms Gillmor's collateral attack upon the very foundation of United States law respecting crime and punishment. Lingle, Ige and all other United States Citizens having equal rights are subject to the same prohibitions against committing crimes against other citizens or persons protected by law and shall be subject to like punishment, pains, penalties...and to no other in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

Gillmor does not seem to be able to report the dates correctly or the facts. Lingle and Ige have never appeared to admit or deny the particulars as written and are deemed to have conceded the facts and the law of the case without any refutation pursuant to Rule 8(d). Since no "appearance" was made of record or served timely on George Peabody, Lingle and Ige have lost any benefit of participating in their own tribunal.

Gillmor wryly admits subsequently that respondents Russell Suzuki and Robyn Chun tendered a pleading to Helen Gillmor praying to her alone for a bill in equity since Russell Suzuki and Robyn Chun served no such "Response" on Party George Peabody ex rel sui juris or his court on the said date.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration: "On September 13, 2006, the Respondents filed an initial "Response" (Doc. 5), pointing out that Peabody's filings were incomprehensible and without basis in law."

As Deputy Attorney General Steven K. Chang has said of the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1654 "by counsel as, by the rules of such courts, respectively, are permitted to manage and conduct causes therein, does not infuse individuals or entities with the authority to preside as a court." Russell Suzuki and Robyn Chun were required under the rules in reference to prove the incompetence of Lingle and Ige under Rule 17 and to receive permission from this court under Rule 25 after proper hearing to substitute for them. Russell Suzuki and Robyn Chun represent nobody but Russell Suzuki and Robyn Chun. Helen Gillmor simply represents nobody.

To grant such license to sue and be sued in a representative capacity is a royal prerogative that, although commented upon by William Blackstone and known to the colonists, has not existed in the same manner since the Unanimous Declaration was signed. That is to say even if Lingle and Ige are idiots Gillmor cannot represent them. "AN attorney at law answers to the procurator, or proctor, of the civilians and canonists. And he is one who is put in the place, stead, or turn of another, to manage his matters of law. Formerly every suitor was obliged to appear in person, to prosecute or defend his suit, (according to the old Gothic constitution) unless by special license under the king's letters patent. This is still the law in criminal cases. And an idiot cannot to this day appear by attorney, but in person; for he has not discretion to enable him to before the court in so defenseless a condition, the judges are bound to take care of his interests, and they shall admit the best plea in his behalf that any one present can suggest. But, as in the Roman law "cum olim in usu fuisset, alterius nomine agi non posse; sed, quia hoc non minimam incommoditatem habebat, coeperunt homines per procuratores litigare." ["Although formerly it had been the custom for no one to act in the name of another; yet, as this was attended with great inconvenience, men began to carry on law-suits by proctors."] so with us, upon the same principle of convenience, it is now permitted in general, by diverse ancient statutes, whereof the first is statute West. 2. c. 10. that attorneys may be made to prosecute or defend any action in the absence of the parties to the suit." William Blackstone, Of Courts in General, Book Three, Chapter Three, Page 26

Apparently, Russell Suzuki and Robyn Chun would like to say that "the claims, defenses, and other legal contentions therein are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law" in accordance with Rule 11 but this is not accomplished with the specious innuendo that is repeated by Helen Gillmor in her submission.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court

may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record submitted by Gillmor for any further consideration: "On September 18, 2006, the Respondents moved to dismiss (Doc. 6), asserting that no complaint has been served in this case, that Judge Douglas Ige has absolute immunity from suit for actions taken in his official capacity, that this court lacks jurisdiction under the Rooker-Feldman doctrine, and that even if jurisdiction existed, Claimant's Pleadings are entirely frivolous and fail to state a claim for which relief may be granted. The Respondents also assert that Peabody has no standing to issue his own writs of execution or any other order."

On the contrary, I am George Peabody, United States National Citizen Sui Juris in Proper Persona representative of the United States of America and real party to the action, who appears to conduct Court as a natural being in my own countenance, and I have asserted and verified that my complaint has been served, Douglas Ige is subject to the law, this COURT has jurisdiction, my right of action is substantial and I have judicial power under the Constitution and Laws for the United States of America to enforce it.

Gillmor continues here in her own supercilious argument with the court consisting of immaterial sophistry while failing to endorse the pleading or to claim the truth of it.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration: "Allegations Claimant's filings consist largely of conclusory statements of his own imagined authority rather than allegations. For example, he refers vaguely to the "racketeer influenced corrupted enterprise operating Hawaii state and therefore anyone conspiring to deprive George Peabody of his security in his person is constrained under penalty of law from forestalling him upon the highway, kidnapping him or constraining him against his will subject to criminal prosecution and penalty of the laws of the United States of America "by peremptory order issuing from this court..." (Doc. 1 at 2)."

George Peabody's AUTHORITY is stated in the Preamble to the Constitution for the United States of America, to-wit: "WE THE PEOPLE of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America."

If the POLICE POWER was not clearly and plainly stated before all divisions of legislative, executive and judicial powers it is expressly retained and reserved to THE PEOPLE by the ninth and tenth amendment articles respectively. Gillmor has not the discretion to influence the court but only to offend it. "The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people. The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."

The common rules of procedure at Rule 8(a) require a claim for relief to include a short and plain STATEMENT of the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends, unless the court already has jurisdiction and the claim needs no new grounds of jurisdiction to support it, a short and plain STATEMENT of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a DEMAND for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. George Peabody ex rel sui juris is not an attorney in practice and must testify true statements even if not artfully pled to the satisfaction of a corrupt attorney-magistrate-judge.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "To the extent he makes any allegations, they are very difficult to follow, largely irrational and, at times, entirely indecipherable. Peabody alleges that he "has petitioned for redress of grievance by removing the suit of Lingle et al (1:05-cv-00561-ACK-KSC State of Hawaii, et al v. Peabody) to United States Court where it has been summarily closed due to the failure of Lingle et al to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or to prosecute their own bill for suit in equity in a constitutional tribunal..." (Doc. 1 at 1)."

Gillmor contradicts herself in that the record STATES that George Peabody removed his case to United States Court for cause shown duly under constitutional process of law properly cited and invoked.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "To the extent Peabody refers to his previous action in this court, the record reflects that. Peabody was improperly attempting to "remove" a state criminal action and the matter was summarily remanded to state court. (See Doc. 3 in Civil Case No. 05-00561)."

The ONLY party having jurisdiction in United States Court for this case was and is George Peabody and he did not return his case to any court foreign to the Constitution for the United States of America.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "Peabody subsequently attempted to enter his own "order" in federal court, alleging that "[n]either Helen Gillmor and Kevin S.C. Chang have consent from all parties to exercise any judicial power under 28 U.S.C. § 636 or enter the court as special masters under Rule 53 absent the permission of COURT". (Doc. 3)."

It is not disputed here that Helen Gillmor and Kevin S.C. Chang are clearly absent any jurisdiction over this COURT. "A distinction must be here observed between excess of jurisdiction and the clear absence of all jurisdiction over the...[see next page]

page 3 Judge's Impertinances Struck by Decision and Final Order

...subject-matter. Where there is clearly no jurisdiction over the subject-matter any authority exercised is a usurped authority, and for the exercise of such authority, when the want of jurisdiction is known to the judge, no excuse is permissible." BRADLEY v. FISHER, 80 U.S. 335 (1871)

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "Peabody explains that "Magistrate or commissioners as the office of 'Judicial-Executive' were created to exercise the powers of the Fugitive Slave Act of 1850 upon fugitives from service or labor where 'in no trial or hearing under this act shall the testimony of such alleged fugitive be admitted in evidence'. (Doc. 3 at2)."

Gillmor has faithfully repeated the offense that Peabody has a right to prevent under the provisions of the Constitution for the United States of America and Acts of Congress under its authority. Her contention that in admitting the evil there is a defense made of the crime is fatuus. "The language requiring intent to deprive of equal protection, or equal privileges and immunities, means that there must be some racial, or perhaps otherwise class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus behind the conspirators' action. The conspiracy, in other words, must aim at a deprivation of the equal enjoyment of rights secured by the law to all." GRIFFIN v. BRECKENRIDGE, 403 U.S. 88 (1971)

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "Claimant also states that he "has been kidnapped and tortured in retaliation for his constitutional exercise of justice" (Doc. 1 at 1). The record suggests that Peabody is not a prisoner, as he mailed his document from an address in Kaunakakai, Hawaii."

The United States Code Sections that deal with kidnap such as 18 U.S.C. § 1201 et seq, 18 U.S.C § 241 and 18 U.S.C. § 242 extend to life imprisonment for the perpetrator and do not mitigate the offense if the victim has paid a ransom, escaped, was rescued or released and her argument for clemency in this manner is startling. The intent of these laws was to secure the freedom and liberty of people to go about their business without being assaulted.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "Analysis Respondents contend that Claimant's initial filing (Doc. 1) "consists of rambling assertions sprinkled with seemingly random Latin words and phrases and is virtually unintelligible". (Doc. 6 at 5-6). The Respondents aptly point out that Peabody's statements are incoherent and entirely lacking any legal basis. (Doc. 5, 6)."

Ms Gillmor is engaging in a legal fiction here since her prejudice is based only upon would be litigant, Russell A. Suzuki, who has understandably not sworn to or verified his motion. Robyn B. Chun, in a separate document has said nothing but that, under penalty of perjury, she has declared Peabody's documents are true and correct to her personal knowledge.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "Review of Plaintiff's filings confirms this assertion. Even construing Peabody's allegations in the most liberal manner possible, they remain indecipherable and are subject to dismissal on multiple grounds."

Unlike Robyn B. Chun this Helen Gillmor has not claimed that she is competent to testify to the matters set forth. Obviously she is not and nothing she has written is of any value to this court. Helen Gillmor is expressly informed that it is a crime under 18 U.S.C. § 1001 to knowingly and willfully falsify, conceal, or cover up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, make any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or make or use any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States. Gillmor is not deemed to be a party or counsel granted leave to lie in connection with 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (b).

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "Peabody's documents reflect a complete lack of any basis in law. This action is subject to dismissal with prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b) (1) and (6) for lack of jurisdiction and failure to state a claim. The United States Supreme Court has explained that "this Court has repeatedly held that the federal courts are without power to entertain claims otherwise within their jurisdiction if they are so attenuated and unsubstantial as to be absolutely devoid of merit." Haqans v. Lavine 415 U.S. 528,536-537 (1974) (citation omitted)."

The records submitted by me, George Peabody ex rel sui juris, has satisfied both law and justice while Ms Helen Gillmor cannot be trusted to even cite Supreme Court Justices accurately. "As was the case in Bell v. Hood, we cannot "say that the cause of action alleged is so patently without merit as to justify, even under the qualifications noted, the court's dismissal for want of jurisdiction." Id., at 683. Nor can we say that petitioners' claim is "so insubstantial, implausible, foreclosed by prior decisions of this Court or otherwise completely devoid of merit as not to involve a federal controversy within the jurisdiction of the District Court, whatever may be the ultimate resolution of the federal issues on the merits." Oneida Indian Nation v. County of Oneida, 414 U.S. 661, 666 -667 (1974)." HAGANS v. LAVINE, (Supra.)

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken

from the record for any further consideration. "Peabody's filings are also frivolous and appear intended to harass public officials. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) (2), a pro se complaint may be dismissed if it is "frivolous" or "malicious". Lopez v. Smith 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir.2000) (hold that § 1915(e) applies to all in forma pauperis complaints, not just those filed by prisoners)."

George Peabody ex rel holds court sui juris freely and without purchase and has never proceeded ATTORNEY PRO SE or IN FORMA PAUPERIS. At no time has George Peabody ex rel sui juris solicited the services of Helen Gillmor or employed anyone else as a guardian ad litem or master. Gillmor merely continues her delusions where no law exists legitimately within her scope of office to corrupt the record with her outrageous falsehoods or to harass Citizens of the United States of America in the enjoyments of their rights and the performance of their duties.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "Peabody has previously filed nonsensical pleadings in this District Court. See Doc. 7 in Civil Case No. 05-00561 (where Peabody attempted to impose his own "injunction", claiming that Governor Lingle was conspiring against him). Peabody has previously been informed by the Court that he may not do this. See Doc. 8 in Civil Case No. 05-00561. As the Respondents aptly note, Peabody has no possible authority to enter his own "orders" in this court."

Gillmor has once again unwisely chosen to display a willful disregard for American Law. Peabody has not filed any pleadings where his jurisdiction has mandated him to issue writs necessary and appropriate agreeable to the usages and principles of law under 28 U.S.C. § 1651 in aid of the jurisdiction of his court. The Citizens of each State shall be entitled to all Privileges and Immunities of Citizens in the several States and the United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government. Of COURSE Peabody has authority to conduct his court because nobody else has authority to conduct it for him. Had Gillmor actually READ all the preceding documents as she has alluded then she would have learned of the law.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "To the extent Peabody is attempting to file for "declaratory judgment" in federal court with respect to a pending state criminal trial, his filing is procedurally inappropriate. Moreover, no "complaint" has ever been served in this case. Peabody may not simply enter his own "declaratory judgment"."

That George Peabody has already filed, entered of record and served Declaratory Judgment cannot be questioned. The Declaratory Judgment under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 has only proved the rights and other legal relations of George Peabody ex rel sui juris. Any such declaration shall have the force and effect of a final judgment or decree and shall be reviewable as such. The issue has thus been determined that George Peabody is representative of the United States of America with equal rights, privileges and immunities of any Citizen within the jurisdiction of the United States shall have the same right in every State and Territory to make and enforce contracts, to sue, be parties, give evidence, and to the full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for the security of persons and property as is enjoyed by white citizens, and shall be subject to like punishment, pains, penalties, taxes, licenses, and exactions of every kind, and to no other as is provided in full at 42 U.S.C. § 1981 et seq. with the only dispute of this coming from two corrupt attorneys, Suzuki and Chun, who have no voice in this court and no privilege to appeal to any other court.

Ms Gillmor has expressly admitted that Peabody filed his ACTION AT LAW and NOTICE OF SERVICE on August 8, 2006, and now contradicts herself and refutes that it was served.

The Constitution for the United States of America secures the whole of the right to petition redress of grievances. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 says that the petition be in the form of an action at law, suit in equity or other proper proceeding at 42 U.S.C. § 1983. RULE ONE requires only that it be cognizable as action at law. If Lingle or Ige had any valid claim of faulty process then it would reasonably have been made no later than August 31, 2006.

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration. "Finally, to the extent Peabody has listed Judge Douglas Ige as a "respondent" and seeks monetary damages, judges have absolute immunity from suit and liability for actions taken in their official capacities. Nixon v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 731, 744-45 (1982) (recognizing absolute immunity for judges performing judicial functions); Ashelman v. Pope, 793 F.2d 1072, 1075 (9th Cir. 1986) (en banc), quoting Cleavinger v. Saxner, 474 U.S. 193, 199-200 (1985) (emphasizing that immunity is essential to protect the integrity of the judicial process)."

Isn't that "special" ? FINALLY, Gillmor chooses to end her charade and makes her most feeble argument in doing so. Was it the intent of the writers of the Constitution for the United States of America to recreate the royal magistrates they had just discharged twelve years earlier then there would have been clear and unambiguous language in that government itself. In the absence of such direct instructions the judges are civil officials held to good behavior subject to impeachment, removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor, Trust or Profit under the United States, Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors. Corruption of Blood, or Forfeiture during the Life of the Person attained! "A COURT is defined to be a place wherein justice is judicially administered. And, as by our excellent constitution the sole executive power of the laws is vested in the person of the king, it will follow that all courts of justice,.....[see next page]

page 4 Judge's Impertinances Struck by Decision and Final Order

...which are the medium by which he administers the laws, are derived from the power of the crown. For whether created by act of parliament, letters patent, or prescription, (the only methods of erecting a new court of judicature) the king's consent in the two former is expressly, and in the latter impliedly, given. In all these courts the king is supposed in contemplation of law to be always present; but as that is in fact impossible, he is there represented by his judges, whose power is only an emanation of the royal prerogative." -William Blackstone†Book 3, Chapter 3, Of Courts in General.

Up until July 4, 1776, the judges represented the King of England. Afterwards, such absolutism represented a danger to REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT and the judges became employees with the duty to serve the court yet judicial arrogance became a threat to the republic by the end of the century. "On their part they have retired into the Judiciary as a stronghold. There the remains of Federalism are to be preserved and fed from the treasury, and from that battery all the works of Republicanism are to be beaten down and erased." - Thomas Jefferson (1801) (Quoted by Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist in 2003.)

HERE the Constitution for the United States of America is defended and neither Gillmor nor any other judge under color of law will be permitted despotism, tyranny or dictatorship. "Accountability of each individual for individual conduct lies at the core of all law - indeed, of all organized societies. The trend to eliminate or modify sovereign immunity is not an unrelated development; we have moved away from "The King can do no wrong." This principle of individual accountability is fundamental if the structure of an organized society is not to be eroded to anarchy and impotence, and it remains essential in civil as well as criminal justice." COMPLETE AUTO TRANSIT, INC. v. REIS, 451 U.S. 401 (1981)

In accordance with Rule 12(f) upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter and the quoted matter is hereby stricken from the record for any further consideration.

ACCORDINGLY, The Court ORDERS as follows:

- The tendered Motion to Dismiss submitted to this COURT is DENIED;
- The CLERK shall enter this DECISION AND FINAL ORDER in judgment for plaintiff accordingly under Rule 54, Rule 57, Rule 58 and Rule 79.
- Helen Gillmor's "ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO DISMISS AND DENYING CLAIMANT'S "WRIT OF EXECUTION HABEAS CORPUS AD SATISFACIENDUM"" is quashed, struck, denied, dismissed and held invalid, null and void.
- Helen Gillmor is ordered to cease attempting to intervene unlawfully in these judicial proceedings in violation of the Laws of the United States of America, and to carefully study the Unanimous Declaration of Independence, the Constitution for the United States of America, and the Bill of Rights no later than December 15, 2006 [Bill of Rights Day in Hawaii].
- In the event that Helen Gillmor attempts again to obstruct justice or to file any motion, petition or other document in this case the clerk is directed to inform George Peabody ex rel sui juris, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the United States Attorney, the Attorney General of the United States and/or the President of the United States of America that she has committed a CRIME AGAINST THE UNITED STATES.

THEREFORE COURT repeats that it is considered, adjudged and decreed by this COURT that LINDA LINGLE and all those acting under color of law through her by virtue of her executive office are constrained from committing crimes prohibited by the United States of America against George Peabody, Citizen/National of the United States of America. IT IS FURTHER adjudged and decreed as determined by 18 U.S.C. B 402 that the defendants pay to George Peabody, the complainant party injured, a pecuniary penalty of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) in token restitution for the injustice done to him or be held in the custody of the United States Marshals Service in contempt of this COURT until such payment is secured. Notice is made and served upon all those executive and judicial officers responsible to enforce the Laws of the Union with the enactment of this instrument.

It is so ordered this day _____November 24, 2006_____

George Peabody ex rel sui juris
c/o Molokai Advertiser-News
HC01 Box 770
Kaunakakai, Molokai, HI 96748
ph. 808-558-8253 Email: MolokaiMAN@flex.com
<http://www.MolokaiAdvertiserNews.com>

VERIFICATION, OATH OF OFFICE AND NOTICE OF SERVICE

I, George Gary Peabody, do solemnly swear and affirm upon oath that I faithfully execute the Office of Citizen of the United States, and to the best of my Ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution for the United States of America and further that I swear and affirm under the laws of the United States of America in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the foregoing DECISION AND FINAL ORDER are true and correct to my personal knowledge, information and belief agreeable to Rule 11 and that I have served, filed and recorded all documents upon the United States Court for the District of Hawaii, Honolulu by sending the originals to the office of the Court at UNITED STATES COURT JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF HAWAII, 300 Ala Moana Blvd, Rm 328 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96850, by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216932____, and, upon Linda Lingle by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216949____, upon Douglas H. Ige c/o Molokai District Court by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216956____, upon Maui Prosecutor by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216963____, upon United States Attorney Ed Kubo by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216970____, upon FBI Director Robert S. Mueller III by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216942____, upon Director United States Marshals Service John F. Clark by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216959____, upon United States Marshal Mark M. Hanohano by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216966____, and upon Rhonda Lai-Loo by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216973____, and upon MPD Chief Thomas Phillips by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717216980____, and upon Admiral Townsend Alexander by Certified United States Mail Number _____70060100000717____. this 27th day of September, 2006.
In witness thereof I hereupon put my hand and seal.

George Peabody, Ex Rel, sui juris
c/o Molokai Advertiser-News
HC01 Box 770 Kaunakakai, HI 96748
ph. 808-558-8253
email: MolokaiMAN@flex.com website: <http://www.MolokaiAdvertiserNews.com>

Notice of Completion

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the provisions of Section 507-43 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes, the construction performed by and for
Damien K. and Hollycleo Garces
of Single Family Dwelling at Lot 54 Kalamaula Resident Subdivision Phase 1, DHHL TMK: 2-5-2-010:054 was completed October 31, 2006

Notice of Completion

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the provisions of Section 507-43 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes, the construction performed by
Glenn Brake Construction LLC BC 27072
at TMK 2-5-3-001:018-0000 Permit #B 20052375 for Wade Buscher and Mikal Berry Single of a family residence at 88 Beach Place Kaunakakai, HI 96748 is Complete 11-15-06

Be Water Wise!!

Supplement water used for residential landscape with rainwater collected in rainbarrels.



For Water Saving Tips, Contact the Department of Water Supply
(808)244-8550 www.mauewater.org

By Water All Things Find Life



Molokai's Forum For Freedom Individual's Sovereignty vs Slavery

by George Peabody ph. 558-8253 email: molokaiman@flex.com

"We have staked the whole of all our political institutions upon the capacity of mankind for self-government, upon the capacity of each and all of us to govern ourselves, to control ourselves, to sustain ourselves according to the Ten Commandments of God." —James Madison

Bill of Rights 2nd Amendment:

"A well regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."

Wake up militia! Use it , or lose it ! Got your gun, yet?

"...with a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our lives, or fortunes and our sacred honor." (Decl. of Indep.)

If you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed, and, if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly, you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance of survival. There may even be a worse case. You may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than live as slaves.

"The people cannot delegate to government the power to do anything which would be unlawful for them to do themselves."- John Locke

"Those who make peaceful change impossible, make violent change inevitable." -- Robert F. Kennedy

"Rebellion against tyrants is obedience to God." Thomas Jefferson

Enforce the Bill of Rights !

Permission to Travel ???!

Show your PAPERS or you can NOT enter or leave USA !

TheUS now like Nazi Germany - screen all citizens entering or leaving US.

Your information., picture, credit data, will be kept for 40 years. Why??? Its not about terrorism, its about control. Its in direct violation of the Constitution which ensures freedom of movement without incursion by the Gov into our private lives.

Personal Data Will Be Cross-Checked With Terrorism Watch Lists in a border-security program to screen all people who enter and leave the United States by plane, auto, boat or on foot, create a terrorism risk profile of each individual and retain that information for up to 40 years says a notice published yesterday in the Federal Register.

DHS spokesman Jarrod Agen said, "We have the authority and the ability to do it for passengers coming by land and sea."

"They are assigning a suspicion level to millions of law-abiding citizens," said David Sobel, senior counsel of the Electronic Frontier Foundation.

Congress has been unaware of the potential of the Automated Targeting System to assess non-aviation travelers. "ATS started as a tool to prevent the entry of drugs with cargo into the U.S.," said one aide, and Sen. Susan Collins asked Homeland Security to brief staff members on the program. "There is an ability in theory for government to cast a wider net...the reality of it is customs is barely able to manage the data they have."

The data-mining program stemmed from the early 1990s by customs officials to begin assessing the risk of cargo originating in certain countries.

In a round-the-clock operation, targeters match names against terrorist watch lists, and each traveler assessed is assigned a numeric score: The higher the score, the higher the risk. A certain number of points send the traveler back for a full interview.

The Automated Targeting System relies on government databases that include law enforcement data, shipping manifests, travel itineraries and airline passenger data, such as names, addresses, credit card details and phone numbers.

The notice did not spell out what will determine whether someone is high risk. But documents and former officials say the system relies on hundreds of "rules" to factor a score for each individual.

The program is exempt from requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974 that allow people to access records to determine "if the system contains a record pertaining to a particular individual" and "for the purpose of contesting the content of the record."

Are we ready NOW to take our country back and re-establish a Bill of Rights culture?

Posted Legal Notice QuitClaim: Where? Is this the way to steal land, Manaba?



Legal notice posted shown in lot across from Wavecrest: No can see

IN THE SECOND COURT OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT
STATE OF HAWAII
SUMMONS

TO DEFENDANTS heirs or assigns of KAKIOE, PAPAIKU, KAHA, S. K. KAHA, also known as SOLOMON K. KAHA, PUUHONUA KAHA, also known as KAHA PUUHONUA, ROSE (daughter of Maria, also known as Maraeta, whose husband was KAEPO), MIULINA (daughter of Akiona and Keoahu), KAAUHAUKINI, MRS. AUHEA LOKANA, and ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the Plaintiffs, MANABA ENTERPRISES, INC., and EXCHANGE ACCOMMODATORS, INC., have filed a complaint in the Second Circuit Court, State of Hawaii, CIVIL NO. 06-1-0355 (3), to determine all claims adverse to, and to establish, Plaintiffs' fee simple title to royal Patent Grant 1139 to Kakioe within TMK (2) 5-6-4-32, Apana 1 of Land Commission Award 4821-B, Royal Patent 6054, to Papaiku, and Apana 1 of Land Commission Award 5136, Royal Patent 6415, to Kaha within TMK (2) 5-6-4-31, Apana 2 of Land Commission Award 4821-B, Royal Patent 6054, to Papaiku within TMK (2) 5-6-4-33, Apana 1 of Royal Patent Grant 2111 to Job Kahema, and Land Commission Award 9102, Royal Patent 3650, to Kaauhaikini within TMK (2) 5-6-4-18, at Molokai, Hawaii.

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to appear in the courtroom of the Honorable JOSEPH E. CARDOZA, Judge of the Second Circuit, Circuit Courthouse Building, 2145 Main Street, Wailuku, HI 96793, on Wednesday, Dec. 6, 2006, at 8:30 a.m., or to file an answer or other pleading and serve it before said day upon Plaintiffs' attorney, MICHAEL W. GIBSON, whose address is ASHFORD & WRISTON, A Limited Liability Law Partnership LLP, Ali'i Place, Suite 1400, 1099 Alakea Street, Honolulu, HI 96813. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

DATED: Wailuku, Hawaii, October 4, 2006

/sgd/N. Yotsuya (seal)

CLERK, SECOND CIRCUIT COURT

Crimes of Politicians Must Be Stopped by The People (You are The Militia)

The crime on our streets is a tiny fraction of the crime in the offices of our politicians and bureaucrats - the massive majority of crime is committed by the criminal classes in power. Just look at the constant abuse of power in our State government offices and you will realize the extent of crime in Government. Just consider just how many BILLIONS of dollars a day Government extorts from the people and how little WE get in return; and just how comfortable the politicians make themselves at the expense of the peoples they extort!

The goal of the founders of America was to restrict government within severe limits and to protect the rights of sovereign individuals. Government has NO rights!

Judges are impeachable. Furthermore, judges may be removed immediately for violating oaths of office, involvement in conspiracies, extortion, and failing to uphold their duty to the common law. Judges can also be arrested, they are not exempt from this nor are any other officials, including the President of the United States.

Do the people have the power to do this? Yes, the people have the power to do everything to defend our country against all enemies foreign or domestic politician, and government has no power to say otherwise. Fascist bureaucrats might send out its armed marauders, but a huge group of The People (Militia) armed with guns as guaranteed their Right to keep and bear arms by the 2nd Amendment is likely to stop them unless the governments decide that it is time to begin mass killings of all people who believe in the rights of Man. **Wake up ! Enforce the Bill of Rights !**

"A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed." Exercise the 2nd Amendment.

S A F E T Y !	<p>Private Lessons; Livefire Practice</p>	<p>M.O.M.'S Guns & Safety Training/Education</p>	<p>Militia Or Molokai SUPPORTS AGAINST ALL ENEMIES</p>	F R E E D O M	<p>Bill of Rights 2nd Amendment: "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed." Use it or lose it ! ph. 558-8253 Join the club! N.R.A.</p>	L I B E R T Y
		<p>Call 558-8253</p>				



Leimana Naki, Kelii and Joe Nelson at the Mana'e site of Kanaka Maoli Kekula.

Kanaka Maoli Kekula

Focused on traditional arts, tools, cultural knowledge and Hawaiian values, Kanaka Maoli Kekula at Kahinapohaku has been launched. Inspired to encourage ocean and beach safety and respect for the ocean, Leimana Naki seeks to foster a partnership with the community to preserve Kahinapohaku and Hawaiian Values it embodies.

Leimana Naki has been the primary caretaker of Kahinapohaku Fishpond and has planned a program welcoming schools and individuals to participate in "looking, listening and working" with science and history as well as Hawaiian Values in mind. As Alaka'i, Leimana with helper Keli'i are at the site for students Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays from 8am "until pau."

Subjects are taught in Hawaiian, English and "maybe a little bit Japanese."

Leimana accepts monetary donations of \$25, \$35 per person and \$100 per day. Inquire about Kama'aina rates and Malahini rates.

Donations of water coolers (10 gallon) and a 20X40 easy up will be welcome. Donations will be shared with handicapped, immersion and kupuna programs.

For more information about Kanaka Maoli Kekula, call Leimana Naki at 336-1637.



Molokai Advertiser News We get your attention! 558-8253	Molokai Advertiser News We get your attention! 558-8253
--	--

• Bulletin Board •



November 28, Tuesday
 Molokai High Drama Club PLAY: The Odd Couple, 7pm, MHS Cafeteria. admission \$3.

- **November 29, Wednesday**
- School Community Councils Meeting: 5:30-7:30pm, Kaunakakai School
- Molokai High Drama Club PLAY: The Odd Couple, 7pm, MHS Cafeteria, admission \$3
- **November 30, Thursday**
- Molokai High Drama Club PLAY: The Odd Couple, 7pm, MHS Cafeteria, admission \$3
- **December 2, Saturday**
- Taro Field Day, UH Farm, 9am-noon

Round Trip Airfare only
\$99⁹⁵

New Airfare Fri — Sun: \$109.95 r/t
for adults \$64.95 one way

Molokai Air Shuttle

Flights available daily
between Honolulu and Molokai

Molokai Air Shuttle is an unscheduled, on demand FAR part 135 certified air carrier. Flights subject to aircraft availability and prior bookings, and price changes.

Reservations: Molokai - 567-6847
Oahu - 545-4988

Imports Gift Shop — "In Kaunakakai"
 The largest clothing, gift and jewelry shop in town

ONE STOP SHOPPING
 OPEN Mon. to Sat. 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 pm
 Sunday 8:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.




Hot Water Heater

Instantaneous Tankless

**DEPENDABLE
SIMPLICITY
ENERGY EFFICIENT
PROPANE**

starting at only \$500

Simple to light pilot, and VERY energy efficient
 Simple to install new, or to replace your old gas water heater!

NEW AND USED! SAVE BIG on your electric bill!

Call George Peabody: 558-8253

The Moloka'i Advertiser-News




Susan *George*

G & S Enterprises.....Publisher.....George Peabody....Editor
 HC 01 Box 770, K'Kai, HI 96748....email MolokaiMAN@flex.com
 web page: <http://www.MolokaiAdvertiserNews.com>

Contributing Writers:
 Molokai High School Drama Club, Alton Arakaki
 Leimana Naki

Phone: 558-8253.....Call The M.A.N.
 Published Every Wednesday....Made on Molokai
 U.S. Subscriptions....\$26/ per 26 issues
 Published Weekly. Founded in 1984...Contents © 2002 All Rights Reserved

Fly Paragon Air 1-800-428-1231

Moloka'i

- Kalaupapa**
 - Damien Molokai Tours
- Kualapuu**
 - Kualapuu Market
 - Purdy's Mac Nuts
 - Kualapuu Cookhouse
- Kaunakakai:**
 - Molokai Fish & Dive
 - Molokai Realty, Inc.
 - Imports Gifts
 - Kanemitsu Bakery
 - Molokai General Hospital
 - Molokai Princess
 - Molokai Public Library
- East End**
 - Molokai Advertiser-News
 - Neighborhood Store
 - Militia Of Molokai target shooting range
 - Windsurfing Molokai
 - * Surfing Lessons

ph. 558-8253

Full Service Kualapuu Cookhouse
6-Days Week *"...Molokai's eating landmark"*

Mondays: 7 am - 2 pm
Breakfast & Lunch 7 am - 8 pm Daily
Dinner Served 5 pm- 8 pm Daily
Thursday Night: Prime Rib
Closed Sundays
located in Kualapuu off Hwy. 480

567-9655
ONO
Kaukau!

Fly George's Aviation

Molokai to/from Honolulu

only \$95 R/T

Unscheduled, On Demand, Air Carrier & charters
Fly Molokai to Maui R/T only \$110

Daily Air Service available!

Dependable, Friendly and affordable

—prices subject change—

CASH or CREDIT Cards welcome

Call toll free:

1-866-834-2120

on Molokai call 553-8554 on Maui call 893-2120

Mahalo Molokai for your SUPPORT !

Da Kine Ads
SERVICES OFFERED

Architectural Drafting Office
Ph. 553-9045
email: luigis@aloha.net

LICENSED ARCHITECT
Rich Young - Custom homes
Portfolio available online at
www.richyoungarchitect.com
553-5992 ryoung@aloha.net

The pay is \$20/hr. I am painting an ongoing series of portraits of the people of Molokai. These paintings, displayed at Stanley's street level gallery, are clothed, full-length portraits. I seek a wide variety of people to paint as I continue this series. Please call Stanley at 553-9966 or 558-8996. See the model page at www.artmolokai.com .

Instant/Tankless Water Heater
Propane, works good, new parts \$275
Large Paloma model used....\$395
service and sales, call "Mr. Pono"
New Aqstar heaters \$525....up/
NEW \$300 TAX CREDIT
on Aqstar heater purchased and installed in 2006
Call NOW
Pono SolarPower ph. 558-8253

Pono's Solar Electric Power to Molokai home, farm, business.



Solar Power Ready to go, from the ground up!
Just plug in and start building!
Call Today! "Mr.Pono" is **Solar Power 558-8253**

Interviewers Needed.
Conduct surveys at airport. \$12/hr. Must be very reliable, efficient, and outgoing. Background check required. Contact Nicole toll-free at 1-877-535-5767 or email nfrazier@smshawaii.com. Start soon!

BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY
\$COMPANY EXPANDING, WORK AT HOME\$ P/T OR F/T, NO EXPERIENCE NEEDED, WILL TRAIN FOR FREE INFORMATION CALL (808) 306-2218 OR VISIT: www.youcanworkit.com

Business For Sale:
Harbor Taxi License \$18,000 Call MIKE phone:808-385-1379

12-V Solar Electric System
Inverter, 4-Batteries new, 6-Panels VERY good condition...\$3500
12-V Windmill....\$900
buy all or part...Call 558-8253
Boat anchor for sale: \$80
ph. 558-8253

1-McCulloch gas chainsaw....\$75
Grey metal desk w/drawers \$125
12' paddleboard.....\$750
2-gasoline Honda engines, 5-hp...\$500
Cement Scarifier, w/9-hp Honda \$3K

MGH Family Planning Project
553-3145 or 553-5331
CONFIDENTIAL
FREE or Low Cost General and Reproductive Care for all Ages.
Pap smears, breast exams, pregnancy tests, and birth control methods (including ORTHO EVRA "the patch" and DEPO-PROVER "the shot")

Hot Water Heater

Instantaneous Tankless

DEPENDABLE SIMPLICITY

ENERGY EFFICIENT

starting at only \$525 **\$300 Tax Credit '06**

Simple to light pilot, and VERY energy efficient
Simple to install new, or to replace your old gas water heater!
NEW AND USED! SAVE BIG on your electric bill!

Call George Peabody: 558-8253